arXiv:math/0112073v2 [math.CO] 12 Jun 2003

Descent Representations and Multivariate Statistics

Ron M. Adin*§ Francesco Brenti†§ Yuval Roichman‡§

Submitted: October 13, 2002; Revised: June 12, 2003

Abstract

Combinatorial identities on Weyl groups of types A and B are derived from special bases of the corresponding coinvariant algebras. Using the Garsia-Stanton descent basis of the coinvariant algebra of type A we give a new construction of the Solomon descent representations. An extension of the descent basis to type B, using new multivariate statistics on the group, yields a refinement of the descent representations. These constructions are then applied to refine well-known decomposition rules of the coinvariant algebra and to generalize various identities.

1 Introduction

1.1 Outline

This paper studies the interplay between representations of classical Weyl groups of types A and B and combinatorial identities on these groups. New combinatorial statistics on these groups are introduced, which lead to a new

*Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Bar-Ilan University, Ramat-Gan 52900, Israel. Email: radin@math.biu.ac.il

†Dipartimento di Matematica, Universitá di Roma "Tor Vergata", Via della Ricerca Scientifica, 00133 Roma, Italy. Email: brenti@mat.uniroma2.it

‡Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Bar-Ilan University, Ramat-Gan 52900, Israel. Email: yuvalr@math.biu.ac.il

§Research of all authors was supported in part by the EC's IHRP programme, within the Research Training Network "Algebraic Combinatorics in Europe", grant HPRN-CT-2001-00272, by the Israel Science Foundation, founded by the Israel Academy of Sciences and Humanities, and by internal research grants from Bar-Ilan University.

Mathematical Subject Classification: Primary 05E10, 13A50; Secondary 05A19, 13F20, 20C30.

construction of representations. The Hilbert series which emerge give rise to multivariate identities generalizing known ones.

The set of elements in a Coxeter group having a fixed descent set carries a natural representation of the group, called a descent representation. Descent representations of Weyl groups were first introduced by Solomon [30] as alternating sums of permutation representations. This concept was extended to arbitrary Coxeter groups, using a different construction, by Kazhdan and Lusztig [22] [21, §7.15]. For Weyl groups of type A, these representations also appear in the top homology of certain (Cohen-Macaulay) rank-selected posets [34]. Another description (for type A) is by means of zig-zag diagrams [19, 16].

In this paper we give a new construction of descent representations for Weyl groups of type A, using the coinvariant algebra as a representation space. This viewpoint gives rise to a new extension for type B, which refines the one by Solomon.

The construction of a basis for the coinvariant algebra is important for many applications, and has been approached from different viewpoints. A geometric approach identifies the coinvariant algebra with the cohomology ring $H^*(G/B)$ of the flag variety. This leads to the Schubert basis [7, 10], and applies to any Weyl group. This identification also appears in Springer's construction of irreducible representations [31]; see also [15]. Barcelo [6] found bases for the resulting quotients. An algebraic approach, applying Young symmetrizers, was used by Ariki, Terasoma and Yamada [39, 5] to produce a basis compatible with the decomposition into irreducible representations. This was extended to complex reflection groups in [26].

A combinatorial approach, which produces a basis of monomials, was presented by Garsia and Stanton in [17] (see also [13, 37]). They actually presented a basis for a finite dimensional quotient of the Stanley-Reisner ring arising from a finite Weyl group. For type A, unlike other types, this quotient is isomorphic to the coinvariant algebra. The Garsia-Stanton descent basis for type A may be constructed from the coinvariant algebra via a straightening algorithm [4]. Using a reformulation of this algorithm we give a natural construction of Solomon's descent representations as factors of the coinvariant algebra of type A.

An analogue of the descent basis for type B is now given. This analogue (again consisting of monomials) involves extended descent sets and new combinatorial statistics. An extension of the construction of descent representations, using the new basis for type B, gives rise to a family of descent representations, refining Solomon's. A decomposition of these descent

representations into irreducibles, refining theorems of Lusztig and Stanley [33, Prop. 4.11] [28, Theorem 8.8] (for type A) and Stembridge [38] (for type B), is carried out using a multivariate version of Stanley's formula for the principal specialization of Schur functions.

This algebraic setting is then applied to obtain new multivariate combinatorial identities. Suitable Hilbert series are computed and compared to each other and to generating functions of multivariate statistics. The resulting identities present a far reaching generalization of bivariate identities from [18], [14], and [1].

1.2 Main Results

Let W be a classical Weyl group of type A or B, and let I_n^W be the ideal of the polynomial ring $P_n := \mathbf{Q}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ generated by W-invariant polynomials without a constant term. The quotient P_n/I_n^W is called the *coinvariant algebra* of W. See Subsection 2.5 below.

For any partition λ with (at most) n parts, let $P_\lambda^\trianglelefteq$ be the subspace of the polynomial ring $P_n = \mathbf{Q}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ spanned by all monomials whose exponent partition is dominated by λ, and let R_λ be a distinguished quotient of the image of $P_\lambda^\trianglelefteq$ under the projection of P_n onto the coinvariant algebra. For precise definitions see Subsections 3.5 and 5.3. We will show that the homogeneous components of the coinvariant algebra decompose as direct sums of certain R_λ-s. This will be done using an explicit construction of a basis for R_λ. The construction of this basis involves new statistics on S_n and B_n.

1.2.1 New Statistics

Let Σ be a linearly ordered alphabet. For any finite sequence $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n)$ of letters in Σ define

$$Des(\sigma) := \{i \mid \sigma_i > \sigma_{i+1}\},$$

the descent set of σ, and

$$d_i(\sigma) := |\{j \in Des(\sigma) : \ j \geq i\}|,$$

the number of descents in σ from position i on.

If Σ consists of integers, let

$$Neg(\sigma) := \{i \mid \sigma_i < 0\};$$

$$n_i(\sigma) := |\{j \in Neg(\sigma) : \ j \geq i\}|;$$

$$\varepsilon_i(\sigma) := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \sigma_i < 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

and

$$f_i(\sigma) := 2d_i(\sigma) + \varepsilon_i(\sigma).$$

The statistics $f_i(\sigma)$ refine the flag-major index $fmaj(\sigma)$, which was introduced and studied in [2, 3, 1].

For various properties of these statistics see Sections 3, 5, and 6 below.

1.2.2 The Garsia-Stanton Descent Basis and its Extension

To any $\pi \in S_n$ Garsia and Stanton [17] associated the monomial

$$a_\pi := \prod_{i \in Des(\pi)} (x_{\pi(1)} \cdots x_{\pi(i)}).$$

It should be noted that in our notation $a_\pi = \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{\pi(i)}^{d_i(\pi)}$. Using Stanley-Reisner rings, Garsia and Stanton [17] showed that the set $\{a_\pi + I_n \mid \pi \in S_n\}$ forms a basis for the coinvariant algebra of type A. This basis will be called the *descent basis*. The Garsia-Stanton approach is not applicable to the coinvariant algebras of other Weyl groups. In this paper we extend the descent basis to the Weyl groups of type B.

To any $\sigma \in B_n$ we associate the monomial

$$b_\sigma := \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{|\sigma(i)|}^{f_i(\sigma)}.$$

Theorem 1.1 *[See Corollary 5.3] The set*

$$\{b_\sigma + I_n^B \mid \sigma \in B_n\}$$

forms a basis for the coinvariant algebra of type B.

1.2.3 Descent Representations

For a monomial m in the polynomial ring $P_n = \mathbf{Q}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, let the exponent partition $\lambda(m)$ be the partition obtained by rearranging the exponents in a weakly decreasing order. For any partition λ with at most n parts,

let $P_\lambda^{\trianglelefteq}$ be the subspace of P_n spanned by all monomials whose exponent partition is dominated by λ :

$$P_\lambda^{\trianglelefteq} := span_{\mathbf{Q}}\{m \mid \lambda(m) \trianglelefteq \lambda\}.$$

Similarly, define $P_\lambda^{\triangleleft}$ by strict dominance :

$$P_\lambda^{\triangleleft} := span_{\mathbf{Q}}\{m \mid \lambda(m) \triangleleft \lambda\}.$$

Consider now the canonical projection of P_n onto the coinvariant algebra

$$\psi : P_n \longrightarrow P_n/I_n.$$

Define R_λ to be a quotient of images under this map :

$$R_\lambda := \psi(P_\lambda^{\trianglelefteq})/\psi(P_\lambda^{\triangleleft}).$$

Then R_λ is an S_n-module.
For any subset $S \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$ define a partition

$$\lambda_S := (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$$

by

$$\lambda_i := |\, S \cap \{i, \ldots, n\}\,|.$$

Using a straightening algorithm for the descent basis it is shown that $R_\lambda \neq 0$ if and only if $\lambda = \lambda_S$ for some $S \subseteq [n-1]$ (Corollary 3.10), and that a basis for R_{λ_S} may be indexed by the permutations with descent set equal to S (Corollary 3.11). Let R_k be the k-th homogeneous component of the coinvariant algebra P_n/I_n.

Theorem 1.2 *[See Theorem 3.12] For every* $0 \leq k \leq \binom{n}{2}$,

$$R_k \cong \bigoplus_S R_{\lambda_S}$$

as S_n*-modules, where the sum is over all subsets* $S \subseteq [n-1]$ *such that* $\sum_{i \in S} i = k$.

Let

$$R_\lambda^B := \psi^B(P_\lambda^{\trianglelefteq}) \;/\; \psi^B(P_\lambda^{\triangleleft}),$$

where $\psi^B : P_n \longrightarrow P_n/I_n^B$ is the canonical map from P_n onto the coinvariant algebra of type B. For subsets $S_1 \subseteq [n-1]$ and $S_2 \subseteq [n]$, let λ_{S_1,S_2} be the vector

$$\lambda_{S_1,S_2} := 2\lambda_{S_1} + \mathbf{1}_{S_2},$$

where λ_{S_1} is as above and $\mathbf{1}_{S_2} \in \{0,1\}^n$ is the characteristic vector of S_2. Again, $R_\lambda^B \neq 0$ if and only if $\lambda = \lambda_{S_1,S_2}$ for some $S_1 \subseteq [n-1], S_2 \subseteq [n]$ (Corollary 5.6). In this case, a basis for $R^B_{\lambda_{S_1,S_2}}$ may be indexed by the signed permutations $\sigma \in B_n$ with $Des(\sigma) = S_1$ and $Neg(\sigma) = S_2$ (Corollary 5.7).

Let R_k^B for the k-th homogeneous component of the coinvariant algebra of type B. The following theorem is a B-analogue of Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 1.3 *[See Theorem 5.8] For every $0 \leq k \leq n^2$,*

$$R_k^B \cong \bigoplus_{S_1,S_2} R^B_{\lambda_{S_1,S_2}}$$

as B_n-modules, where the sum is over all subsets $S_1 \subseteq [n-1]$ and $S_2 \subseteq [n]$ such that λ_{S_1,S_2} is a partition and

$$2 \cdot \sum_{i \in S_1} i + |S_2| = k.$$

1.2.4 Decomposition into Irreducibles

Theorem 1.4 *[See Theorem 4.1] For any subset $S \subseteq [n-1]$ and partition $\mu \vdash n$, the multiplicity in R_{λ_S} of the irreducible S_n-representation corresponding to μ is*

$$m_{S,\mu} := |\{\, T \in SYT(\mu) \mid Des(T) = S \,\}|,$$

the number of standard Young tableaux of shape μ and descent set S.

This theorem refines the well known decomposition (due, independently, to Lusztig and Stanley) of each homogeneous component of the coinvariant algebra into irreducibles. See Subsection 2.5.

For type B we have

Theorem 1.5 *For any pair of subsets $S_1 \subseteq [n-1]$, $S_2 \subseteq [n]$, and a bipartition (μ^1, μ^2) of n, the multiplicity of the irreducible B_n-representation corresponding to (μ^1, μ^2) in $R^B_{\lambda_{S_1,S_2}}$ is*

$$m_{S_1,S_2,\mu^1,\mu^2} := |\{\, T \in SYT(\mu^1,\mu^2) \mid Des(T) = S_1 \text{ and } Neg(T) = S_2 \,\}|,$$

the number of pairs of standard Young tableaux of shapes μ^1 and μ^2 with descent set S_1 and sets of entries $[n] \setminus S_2$ and S_2, respectively.

For definitions and more details see Subsection 5.4 and Theorem 5.9 below.

The proofs apply multivariate extensions of Stanley's formula for the principal specialization of a Schur function. See Lemmas 4.3 and 5.10 below.

1.2.5 Combinatorial Identities

For any partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$ with at most n parts define

$$m_j(\lambda) := \mid \{1 \leq i \leq n \mid \lambda_i = j\} \mid \qquad (\forall j \geq 0).$$

By considering Hilbert series of the polynomial ring with respect to rearranged multi-degree and applying the Straightening Lemma for the coinvariant algebra of type A we obtain

Theorem 1.6 *[See Theorem 6.2] For any positive integer n*

$$\sum_{\ell(\lambda)\leq n} \binom{n}{m_0(\lambda), m_1(\lambda), \ldots} \prod_{i=1}^{n} q_i^{\lambda_i} = \frac{\sum_{\pi\in S_n} \prod_{i=1}^{n} q_i^{d_i(\pi)}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n}(1 - q_1 \cdots q_i)}$$

in $\boldsymbol{Z}[[q_1, \ldots, q_n]]$, where the sum on the left-hand side is taken over all partitions with at most n parts.

This theorem generalizes Gessel's theorem for the bivariate distribution of descent number and major index [18].

The main combinatorial result for type B asserts :

Theorem 1.7 *[See Theorem 6.1] For any positive integer n*

$$\sum_{\sigma\in B_n} \prod_{i=1}^{n} q_i^{d_i(\sigma)+n_i(\sigma^{-1})} = \sum_{\sigma\in B_n} \prod_{i=1}^{n} q_i^{2d_i(\sigma)+\varepsilon_i(\sigma)}.$$

For further identities see Section 6. In particular, it is shown that central results from [1] follow from Theorem 1.7.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Notations

Let $\boldsymbol{P} := \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$, $\boldsymbol{N} := \boldsymbol{P} \cup \{0\}$, $\boldsymbol{Z}$ be the ring of integers, and $\mathbf{Q}$ be the field of rational numbers; for $a \in \boldsymbol{N}$ let $[a] := \{1, 2, \ldots, a\}$ (where $[0] := \emptyset$). Given $n, m \in \boldsymbol{Z}$, $n \leq m$, let $[n, m] := \{n, n+1, \ldots, m\}$. For $S \subset \boldsymbol{N}$ write $S = \{a_1, \ldots, a_r\}_<$ to mean that $S = \{a_1, \ldots, a_r\}$ and $a_1 < \ldots < a_r$. The cardinality of a set A will be denoted by $|A|$. More generally, given a multiset $M = \{1^{a_1}, 2^{a_2}, \ldots, r^{a_r}\}$ denote by $|M|$ its cardinality, so $|M| = \sum_{i=1}^{r} a_i$.

Given a variable q and a commutative ring R, denote by $R[q]$ (respectively, $R[[q]]$) the ring of polynomials (respectively, formal power series) in q with coefficients in R. For $i \in \boldsymbol{N}$ let, as customary, $[i]_q := 1+q+q^2+\ldots+q^{i-1}$ (so $[0]_q = 0$). Given a vector $v = (v_1, \ldots, v_n) \in \boldsymbol{P}^n$ and a sequence of variables $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ denote by $\bar{x}^v$ the monomial $\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{v_i} \in R[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$.

2.2 Sequences and Permutations

Let Σ be a linearly ordered alphabet. Given a sequence $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n) \in \Sigma^n$ we say that a pair $(i, j) \in [n] \times [n]$ is an *inversion* of σ if $i < j$ and $\sigma_i > \sigma_j$. We say that $i \in [n-1]$ is a *descent* of σ if $\sigma_i > \sigma_{i+1}$;

$$Des(\sigma) := \{1 \leq i \leq n-1 \mid \sigma_i > \sigma_{i+1}\}$$

is the *descent set* of σ. Denote by $inv(\sigma)$ (respectively, $des(\sigma)$) the number of inversions (respectively, descents) of σ. We also let

$$maj(\sigma) := \sum_{i \in Des(\sigma)} i$$

and call it the *major index* of σ.

Given a set T let $S(T)$ be the set of all bijections $\pi : T \to T$, and $S_n := S([n])$. For $\pi \in S_n$ write $\pi = \pi_1 \ldots \pi_n$ to mean that $\pi(i) = \pi_i$, for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Any $\pi \in S_n$ may also be written in *disjoint cycle form* (see, e.g., [35, p.17]), usually omitting the 1-cycles of π. For example, $\pi = 365492187$ may also be written as $\pi = (9, 7, 1, 3, 5)(2, 6)$. Given $\pi, \tau \in S_n$ let $\pi\tau := \pi \circ \tau$ (composition of functions) so that, for example, $(1, 2)(2, 3) = (1, 2, 3)$.

Denote by B_n the group of all bijections σ of the set $[-n, n] \setminus \{0\}$ onto itself such that

$$\sigma(-a) = -\sigma(a)$$

for all $a \in [-n, n] \setminus \{0\}$, with composition as the group operation. This group is usually known as the group of "signed permutations" on $[n]$, or as the *hyperoctahedral group* of rank n. We identify S_n as a subgroup of B_n, and B_n as a subgroup of S_{2n}, in the natural ways.

For $\sigma \in B_n$ write $\sigma = [a_1, \ldots, a_n]$ to mean that $\sigma(i) = a_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$, and (using the natural linear order on $[-n, n] \setminus \{0\}$) let

$$\begin{array}{ll} inv(\sigma) := inv(a_1, \ldots, a_n), & des(\sigma) := des(a_1, \ldots, a_n), \\ Neg(\sigma) := \{i \in [n] : \ a_i < 0\}, & neg(\sigma) := |Neg(\sigma)|, \\ maj(\sigma) := maj(a_1, \ldots, a_n), & fmaj(\sigma) := 2 \cdot maj(\sigma) + neg(\sigma). \end{array}$$

The statistic *fmaj* was introduced in [2, 3] and further studied in [1].

2.3 Partitions and Tableaux

Let n be a nonnegative integer. A *partition* of n is an infinite sequence of nonnegative integers with finitely many nonzero terms $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots)$, where $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \ldots$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i = n$. The sum $\sum \lambda_i = n$ is called the *size* of λ, denoted $|\lambda|$; write also $\lambda \vdash n$. The number of parts of λ, $\ell(\lambda)$, is the maximal j for which $\lambda_j > 0$. The unique partition of $n = 0$ is the *empty partition* $\emptyset = (0, 0, \ldots)$, which has length $\ell(\emptyset) := 0$. For a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k, \ldots)$ define the *conjugate partition* $\lambda' = (\lambda'_1, \ldots, \lambda'_i, \ldots)$ by letting λ'_i be the number of parts of λ that are $\geq i$ ($\forall i \geq 1$).

The *dominance* partial order on partitions is defined as follows : For any two partitions μ and λ of the same integer, μ *dominates* λ (denoted $\mu \trianglerighteq \lambda$) if and only if $\sum_{j=1}^{i} \mu_j \geq \sum_{j=1}^{i} \lambda_j$ for all i (and, by assumption, $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_j = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j$).

The subset $\{(i, j) \mid i, j \in \mathbf{P},\ j \leq \lambda_i\}$ of $\mathbf{P}^2$ is called the *Young diagram* of *shape* λ. (i, j) is the *cell* in row i and column j. The diagram of the conjugate shape λ' may be obtained from the diagram of shape λ by interchanging rows and columns.

A *Young tableau* of shape λ is obtained by inserting the integers $1, \ldots, n$ (where $n = |\lambda|$) as *entries* in the cells of the Young diagram of shape λ, allowing no repetitions. A *standard Young tableau* of shape λ is a Young tableau whose entries increase along rows and columns.

We shall draw Young tableaux as in the following example.

Example 1.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & 3 & 4 & 6 & 9 \\ 2 & 7 & 8 & 11 & \\ 5 & 10 & & & \end{array}$$

A *descent* in a standard Young tableau T is an entry i such that $i+1$ is strictly south (and hence weakly west) of i. Denote the set of all descents in T by $Des(T)$. The *descent number* and the *major index* (for tableaux) are defined as follows :

$$des(T) := \sum_{i \in Des(T)} 1 \; ; \qquad maj(T) := \sum_{i \in Des(T)} i.$$

Example 1. (Cont.) Let T be the standard Young tableau drawn in Example 1. Then $Des(T) = \{1, 4, 6, 9\}$, $des(T) = 4$, and $maj(T) = 1 + 4 + 6 + 9 = 20$.

A *semistandard Young tableau* of shape λ is obtained by inserting positive integers as entries in the cells of the Young diagram of shape λ, so that the entries weakly increase along rows and strictly increase down columns. A *reverse semistandard Young tableau* is obtained by inserting positive integers into the diagram so that the entries weakly decrease along rows and strictly decrease down columns.

A *bipartition* of n is a pair (λ^1, λ^2) of partitions of total size $|\lambda^1| + |\lambda^2| = n$. A *(skew) diagram* of shape (λ^1, λ^2) is the disjoint union of a diagram of shape λ^1 and a diagram of shape λ^2, where the second diagram lies southwest of the first. A standard Young tableau $T = (T^1, T^2)$ of shape (λ^1, λ^2) is obtained by inserting the integers $1, 2, \ldots, n$ as entries in the cells, such that the entries increase along rows and columns. The descent set $Des(T)$, the descent number $des(T)$, and the major index $maj(T)$ of T are defined as above. The *negative set*, $Neg(T)$, of such a tableau T is the set of entries in the cells of λ^2. Define $neg(T) := |Neg(T)|$ and

$$fmaj(T) := 2 \cdot maj(T) + neg(T).$$

Example 2. Let T be

$$\begin{array}{cccc} & & 2 & 5 & 6 \\ & & 3 & & \\ 1 & 7 & & & \\ 4 & 8 & & & \end{array}$$

We shall also consider T as a pair of tableaux

$$(T^1, T^2) = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 2 & 5 & 6 \\ 3 & & \end{array} \; , \; \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 7 \\ 4 & 8 \end{array} \right).$$

T is a standard Young tableau of shape $((3,1),(2,2))$; $Des(T) = \{2,3,6,7\}$, $maj(T) = 18$, $Neg(T) = \{1,4,7,8\}$, $neg(T) = 4$, and $fmaj(T) = 40$.

Denote by $SYT(\lambda)$ the set of all standard Young tableaux of shape λ. Similarly, $SSYT(\lambda)$ and $RSSYT(\lambda)$ denote the sets of all semistandard and reverse semistandard Young tableaux of shape λ, respectively. $SYT(\lambda^1, \lambda^2)$ denotes the set of all standard Young tableaux of shape (λ^1, λ^2).

Let $\hat{T}$ be a (reverse) semistandard Young tableau. The *content vector* $cont(\hat{T}) = (m_1, m_2, \ldots)$ is defined by

$$m_i := |\{\text{cells in } \hat{T} \text{ with entry } i\}| \qquad (\forall i \geq 1).$$

2.4 Symmetric Functions

Let Λ (Λ_n) be the ring of symmetric functions in infinitely many (resp. n) variables. In this paper we consider three bases for the vector space Λ:
The *elementary symmetric functions* are defined as follows. For a nonempty partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_t)$

$$e_\lambda(\bar{x}) := \prod_{i=1}^{t} e_{\lambda_i}(\bar{x}),$$

where $\bar{x} = (x_1, x_2, \ldots)$ and for any $k \in \boldsymbol{P}$

$$e_k(\bar{x}) := \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_k}.$$

The *power sum symmetric functions* are defined as follows. For a nonempty partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_t)$

$$p_\lambda(\bar{x}) := \prod_{i=1}^{t} p_{\lambda_i}(\bar{x}),$$

where for any $k \in \boldsymbol{P}$

$$p_k(\bar{x}) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i^k.$$

The *Schur functions* are defined in a different manner. For a nonempty partition λ define

$$s_\lambda(\bar{x}) := \sum_{T \in SSYT(\lambda)} \bar{x}^{cont(T)}.$$

For the empty partition $\lambda = \emptyset$, define $e_\emptyset(\bar{x}) = p_\emptyset(\bar{x}) = s_\emptyset(\bar{x}) := 1$.

Claim 2.1 *(See [36, Prop. 7.10.4])*

$$s_\lambda(\bar{x}) = \sum_{T \in RSSYT(\lambda)} \bar{x}^{cont(T)}.$$

Note that corresponding spanning sets for Λ_n are obtained by substituting $x_{n+1} = x_{n+2} = \cdots = 0$.

The conjugacy classes of the symmetric group S_n are described by their cycle type; thus, by partitions of n. The irreducible representations of S_n are also indexed by these partitions (cf. [29]).

Let λ and μ be partitions of n. Denote by χ^λ_μ the value, at a conjugacy class of cycle type μ, of the character of the irreducible S_n-representation corresponding to λ. These character values are entries in the transition matrix between two of the above bases. This fact was discovered and applied by Frobenius as an efficient tool for calculating characters (cf. [36, p. 401]).

Frobenius Formula. *[36, Corollary 7.17.4] For any partition μ of n*

$$p_\mu(\bar{x}) = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \chi^\lambda_\mu s_\lambda(\bar{x}),$$

where the sum runs through all partitions λ of n.

Recall that the Weyl group B_n may be described as the group of all *signed permutations* of order n (Subsection 2.2). The conjugacy classes of B_n are described by the signed cycle type (i.e., each cycle is described by its length and the product of the signs of its entries). Thus, the conjugacy classes are described by ordered pairs of partitions whose total size is n: the first partition consist of the lengths of the positive cycles, while the second consists of the lengths of the negative cycles. The irreducible representations of B_n are also indexed by these pairs of partitions, cf. [24, §I, Appendix B].

For two partitions μ^1 and μ^2, and two infinite sets of independent variables $\bar{x} = x_1, x_2, \ldots$ and $\bar{y} = y_1, y_2, \ldots$, define

$$p_{\mu^1,\mu^2}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) := \prod_i (p_{\mu^1_i}(\bar{x}) + p_{\mu^1_i}(\bar{y})) \cdot \prod_j (p_{\mu^2_j}(\bar{x}) - p_{\mu^2_j}(\bar{y})).$$

Let $\chi^{\lambda^1,\lambda^2}_{\mu^1,\mu^2}$ be the irreducible B_n-character indexed by (λ^1, λ^2) evaluated at a conjugacy class of cycle type (μ^1, μ^2). Then

Frobenius Formula for B_n. *[24, p. 178] With the above notations, for any bipartition (μ^1, μ^2) of n*

$$p_{\mu^1,\mu^2}(\bar{x},\bar{y}) = \sum_{\lambda^1,\lambda^2} \chi^{\lambda^1,\lambda^2}_{\mu^1,\mu^2} s_{\lambda^1}(\bar{x}) s_{\lambda^2}(\bar{y}),$$

where the sum runs through all ordered pairs of partitions (λ^1, λ^2) of total size $|\lambda^1| + |\lambda^2| = n$.

2.5 The Coinvariant Algebra

The groups S_n and B_n have natural actions on the ring of polynomials P_n (cf. [21, §3.1]). S_n acts by permuting the variables, and B_n acts by permuting the variables and multiplying by ± 1. The ring of S_n-invariant polynomials is Λ_n, the ring of symmetric functions in $x_1, \ldots, x_n$. Similarly, the ring of B_n-invariant polynomials is Λ_n^B, the ring of symmetric functions in $x_1^2, \ldots, x_n^2$. Let I_n, I_n^B be the ideals of P_n generated by the elements of Λ_n, Λ_n^B (respectively) without constant term. The quotient P_n/I_n (P_n/I_n^B) is called the *coinvariant algebra* of S_n (B_n). Each group acts naturally on its coinvariant algebra. The resulting representation is isomorphic to the regular representation. See, e.g., [21, §3.6] and [20, §II.3].

Let R_k ($0 \le k \le \binom{n}{2}$) be the k-th homogeneous component of the coinvariant algebra of S_n: $P_n/I_n = \oplus_k R_k$. Each R_k is an S_n-module. The following theorem is apparently due to G. Lusztig (unpublished) and, independently, to R. Stanley [33, Prop. 4.11]. It was also proved by Kraskiewicz and Weyman [23]; see [28, p. 215].

Lusztig-Stanley Theorem. *[33, Prop. 4.11] [28, Theorem 8.8] For any $0 \le k \le \binom{n}{2}$ and $\mu \vdash n$, the multiplicity in R_k of the irreducible S_n-representation corresponding to μ is*

$$m_{k,\mu} = |\ \{\ T \in SYT(\mu)\ |\ maj(T) = k\ \}\ |.$$

The following B-analogue (in different terminology) was proved in [38]. Here R_k^B is the k-th homogeneous component of the coinvariant algebra of B_n.

Stembridge's Theorem. *For any $0 \le k \le n^2$ and bipartition (μ^1, μ^2) of n, the multiplicity in R_k^B of the irreducible B_n-representation corresponding to (μ^1, μ^2) is*

$$m_{k,\mu^1,\mu^2} = |\ \{\ T \in SYT(\mu^1, \mu^2)\ |\ fmaj(T) = k\ \}\ |.$$

2.6 The Garsia-Stanton Descent Basis (Type A)

For any $\pi \in S_n$ define the monomial

$$a_\pi := \prod_{j \in Des(\pi)} (x_{\pi(1)} \cdots x_{\pi(j)}).$$

Using Stanley-Reisner rings Garsia and Stanton showed that the set $\{a_\pi + I_n \mid \pi \in S_n\}$ forms a basis for the coinvariant algebra of type A [17]. This basis will be called the *descent basis*. Unfortunately, this approach does not give a basis for the coinvariant algebras of other Weyl groups. In this paper we shall find an analogue of the descent basis for B_n. Our approach will allow us to obtain refinements of the Lusztig-Stanley and Stembridge theorems.

3 Construction of Descent Representations

In this section we introduce several combinatorial concepts (Subsections 3.1 and 3.2). Then we present a straightening algorithm for the expansion of an arbitrary monomial in P_n in terms of descent basis elements, with coefficients from Λ_n (Subsections 3.3 and 3.4). This algorithm is essentially equivalent to the one presented by Allen [4], but our formulation leads naturally to descent representations and may be extended to type B (Subsections 3.5 and 5.2).

3.1 Descent Statistics

In this subsection we introduce a family of descent statistics for sequences of letters from a linearly ordered alphabet.

Let Σ be a linearly ordered alphabet. For any sequence σ of n letters from Σ define

$$d_i(\sigma) := |\{j \in Des(\sigma) : \ j \geq i\}| \qquad (1 \leq i \leq n),$$

the number of descents in σ from position i on. Clearly,

$$d_1(\sigma) = des(\sigma) \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i(\sigma) = maj(\sigma). \tag{3.2}$$

Note that $d_n(\sigma) = 0$.

Also, for any sequence σ from Σ

$$d_i(\sigma) \geq d_{i+1}(\sigma), \qquad 1 \leq i < n; \tag{3.3}$$

$$d_i(\sigma) = d_{i+1}(\sigma) \Longrightarrow \sigma(i) < \sigma(i+1); \tag{3.4}$$

$$d_i(\sigma) > d_{i+1}(\sigma) \Longrightarrow \sigma(i) > \sigma(i+1) \text{ and } d_i(\sigma) = d_{i+1}(\sigma) + 1. \tag{3.5}$$

It follows from (3.2) and (3.3) that the sequence $(d_1(\sigma), d_2(\sigma), \ldots, d_n(\sigma))$ is a partition of $maj(\sigma)$. It follows from (3.4) and (3.5) that this sequence uniquely determines the descent set of σ. More explicitly, the partition conjugate to $(d_1(\sigma), \ldots, d_n(\sigma))$ is obtained by writing the elements of $Des(\sigma)$ in decreasing order.

Finally, for a permutation $\pi \in S_n$, let a_π be the corresponding descent basis element. Then, clearly,

$$a_\pi = \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{\pi(i)}^{d_i(\pi)}.$$

3.2 The Index Permutation and the Exponent Partition

The *index permutation* of a monomial $m = \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{p_i} \in P_n$ is the unique permutation $\pi = \pi(m) \in S_n$ such that

$$p_{\pi(i)} \geq p_{\pi(i+1)} \qquad (1 \leq i < n) \tag{1}$$

and

$$p_{\pi(i)} = p_{\pi(i+1)} \Longrightarrow \pi(i) < \pi(i+1). \tag{2}$$

In other words, π reorders the variables x_i by (weakly) decreasing exponents, where the variables with a given exponent are ordered by increasing indices.

Let $m = \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{p_i}$ be a monomial in P_n, $\pi = \pi(m)$ its index permutation, and a_π the corresponding descent basis element. Then $m = \prod_{i=1}^n x_{\pi(i)}^{p_{\pi(i)}}$ and $a_\pi = \prod_{i=1}^n x_{\pi(i)}^{d_i(\pi)}$.

Claim 3.1 *The sequence $(p_{\pi(i)} - d_i(\pi))_{i=1}^n$ of exponents in m/a_π consists of nonnegative integers, and is weakly decreasing:*

$$p_{\pi(i)} - d_i(\pi) \geq p_{\pi(i+1)} - d_{i+1}(\pi) \qquad (1 \leq i < n).$$

Proof. If $\pi(i) < \pi(i+1)$ then $d_i(\pi) = d_{i+1}(\pi)$ and the claim follows from $p_{\pi(i)} \geq p_{\pi(i+1)}$. If $\pi(i) > \pi(i+1)$ then $d_i(\pi) = d_{i+1}(\pi)+1$ and $p_{\pi(i)} > p_{\pi(i+1)}$, so that $p_{\pi(i)} - p_{\pi(i+1)} \geq 1 = d_i(\pi) - d_{i+1}(\pi)$. This proves monotonicity. Nonnegativity now follows from $d_n(\pi) = 0$, implying $p_{\pi(n)} - d_n(\pi) \geq 0$.

□

For a monomial $m = \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{p_i}$ with index permutation $\pi \in S_n$, let

$$\lambda(m) := (p_{\pi(1)}, p_{\pi(2)}, \ldots, p_{\pi(n)})$$

be its *exponent partition*. Note that $\lambda(m)$ is a partition of the total degree of m.

Define the *complementary partition* $\mu(m)$ of a monomial m to be the partition conjugate to the partition $(p_{\pi(i)} - d_i(\pi))_{i=1}^{n}$. Namely,

$$\mu_j := |\{i \mid p_{\pi(i)} - d_i(\pi) \geq j\}| \qquad (\forall j \geq 1).$$

Example. Let $m = x_1^2 x_2^4 x_3^2 x_5 x_6^3$ and $n = 7$.
Then $m = x_2^4 x_6^3 x_1^2 x_3^2 x_5^1 x_4^0 x_7^0$, $\lambda(m) = (4,3,2,2,1,0,0)$, $\pi = 2613547 \in S_7$, $(d_1(\pi), \ldots, d_7(\pi)) = (2,2,1,1,1,0,0)$, and $\mu(m) = (4,1)$.

3.3 A Partial Order for Monomials

We shall now define a partial order on the monomials in P_n.
Comparable monomials will always have the same total degree. Fix such a total degree p; we may assume $p \geq 1$.

Definition. For monomials m_1, m_2 of the same total degree p , $m_1 \prec m_2$ if one of the following holds :

(1) $\lambda(m_1) \lhd \lambda(m_2)$ (strictly smaller in dominance order); or

(2) $\lambda(m_1) = \lambda(m_2)$ and $inv(\pi(m_1)) > inv(\pi(m_2))$.

The partial order "$\prec$" may be replaced, in this paper, by any linear extension of it; for example, by the linear extension obtained by replacing the dominance order by lexicographic order in (1). (This is common in the definition of Gröbner bases, which partially motivated our definition.)

3.4 Straightening

Lemma 3.2 *Let $m \in P_n$ be a monomial and let $1 \le k \le n$. Let $S^{(k)}$ be the set of all monomials which appear (with coefficient 1) in the expansion of the polynomial $m \cdot e_k$, the product of m and the k-th elementary symmetric function. Let*

$$m^{(k)} := m \cdot x_{\pi(1)} \cdot x_{\pi(2)} \cdots x_{\pi(k)},$$

where $\pi = \pi(m)$ is the index permutation of m. Then :

(1) $m^{(k)} \in S^{(k)}$.

(2) $m' \in S^{(k)}$ and $m' \neq m^{(k)} \Longrightarrow m' \prec m^{(k)}$.

Proof. (1) is obvious. For (2), let $m' \in S^{(k)}$. Then $m' = m \cdot x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_k}$, where $1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k \le n$. Now, let $\lambda_i(m)$ be the i-th part of the exponent partition of the monomial m. Then

$$\lambda_i(m^{(k)}) - \lambda_i(m) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } 1 \le i \le k; \\ 0, & \text{if } i > k, \end{cases}$$

and also

$$\lambda_i(m') - \lambda_i(m) \in \{0, 1\} \qquad (\forall i);$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} [\lambda_i(m') - \lambda_i(m)] = k.$$

Thus :

$$\sum_{i=1}^{t} \lambda_i(m') \le \sum_{i=1}^{t} [\lambda_i(m) + 1] = \sum_{i=1}^{t} \lambda_i(m^{(k)}) \qquad (1 \le t \le k),$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{t} \lambda_i(m') \le k + \sum_{i=1}^{t} \lambda_i(m) = \sum_{i=1}^{t} \lambda_i(m^{(k)}) \qquad (k < t \le n).$$

Therefore

$$\lambda(m') \trianglelefteq \lambda(m^{(k)}).$$

If $\lambda(m') \triangleleft \lambda(m^{(k)})$ then $m' \prec m^{(k)}$, as claimed. Otherwise, $\lambda(m') = \lambda(m^{(k)})$. Now let

$$I_> := \{1 \le i \le n \mid \lambda_i(m) > \lambda_k(m)\};$$

$$I_= := \{1 \le i \le n \mid \lambda_i(m) = \lambda_k(m)\};$$

$$I_< := \{1 \le i \le n \mid \lambda_i(m) < \lambda_k(m)\}.$$

Namely, $i \in I_>$ if the exponent (in m) of $x_{\pi(i)}$ is strictly larger than that of $x_{\pi(k)}$; and similarly for $I_=$ and $I_<$. By definition,

$$\pi(m^{(k)}) = \pi(m).$$

Since $\lambda(m') = \lambda(m^{(k)})$, it follows that $\pi(m')$ and $\pi(m)$ agree on $I_< \cup I_>$, but may differ on $I_=$. Also, $\pi(m^{(k)}) = \pi(m)$ is monotone increasing on $I_=$. It follows that if $m' \neq m^{(k)}$ then $inv(\pi(m')) > inv(\pi(m^{(k)}))$ and thus $m' \prec m^{(k)}$ in the monomial partial order.

□

Lemma 3.3 *Let m_1 and m_2 be monomials in P_n of the same total degree, and let e_k be the k-th elementary symmetric function, $1 \leq k \leq n$. If $m_1 \prec m_2$ then*

$$m_1^{(k)} \prec m_2^{(k)},$$

where $m_i^{(k)}$ $(i = 1, 2)$ is the $\prec$-maximal summand in $m_i e_k$ whose existence is guaranteed by Lemma 4.2.

Proof. By the definition of $m_i^{(k)}$: $\pi(m_i^{(k)}) = \pi(m_i)$ and $\lambda(m_i^{(k)}) = \lambda(m_i) + \delta^{(k)}$, where $\delta^{(k)} = (1, \ldots, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$ (k ones, $n-k$ zeroes). Using this with the definition of $\prec$ shows that $m_1 \prec m_2 \Longrightarrow m_1^{(k)} \prec m_2^{(k)}$.

□

Corollary 3.4 *Let $m \in P_n$ be a monomial, $\pi = \pi(m)$ its index permutation, and $\mu = \mu(m)$ the complementary partition defined in Subsection 3.2. Let S be the set of monomials which appear (with nonzero coefficient) in the expansion of $a_\pi \cdot e_\mu$. Then :*

(1) $m \in S$.

(2) $m' \in S$ and $m' \neq m \Longrightarrow m' \prec m$.

Proof. Iterative applications of Lemma 3.2, using $\pi(m) = \pi(a_{\pi(m)})$ and Lemma 3.3.

□

A straightening algorithm follows.

Straightening Algorithm :

For a monomial $m \in P_n$, let $\pi = \pi(m)$ be its index permutation, a_π the corresponding descent basis element, and $\mu = \mu(m)$ the corresponding complementary partition. Write (by Corollary 3.4)

$$m = a_\pi \cdot e_\mu - \Sigma,$$

where Σ is a sum of monomials $m' \prec m$. Repeat the process for each m'.

Example. Let $m = x_1^2 x_2 x_3 \in P_3$. Then $\pi(m) = 123$, $a_{\pi(m)} = 1$ and $\mu(m) = (3,1)$. Hence

$$x_1^2 x_2 x_3 = 1 \cdot e_{(3,1)} - \Sigma = (x_1 x_2 x_3)(x_1 + x_2 + x_3) - \Sigma,$$

so $\Sigma = x_1 x_2^2 x_3 + x_1 x_2 x_3^2$. For the first summand $\pi(x_1 x_2^2 x_3) = 213$ and $\mu(x_1 x_2^2 x_3) = (3)$. Indeed $x_1 x_2^2 x_3 = a_{213} \cdot e_3 = x_2 \cdot (x_1 x_2 x_3)$. Similarly, for the second summand $\pi(x_1 x_2 x_3^2) = 312$ and $\mu(x_1 x_2 x_3^2) = (3)$, and $x_1 x_2 x_3^2 = a_{312} \cdot e_3 = x_3 \cdot (x_1 x_2 x_3)$. We have obtained

$$x_1^2 x_2 x_3 = a_{123} \cdot e_{(3,1)} - a_{213} \cdot e_3 - a_{312} \cdot e_3.$$

This algorithm implies

Lemma 3.5 (Straightening Lemma) *Each monomial $m \in P_n$ has an expression*

$$m = e_{\mu(m)} \cdot a_{\pi(m)} + \sum_{m' \prec m} n_{m',m} e_{\mu(m')} \cdot a_{\pi(m')},$$

where $n_{m',m}$ are integers.

The following corollary appears in [4].

Corollary 3.6 *The set $\{a_\pi + I_n \mid \pi \in S_n\}$ forms a basis for the coinvariant algebra P_n/I_n.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.5, $\{a_\pi + I_n \mid \pi \in S_n\}$ spans P_n/I_n as a vector space over $\mathbf{Q}$. Since $\dim(P_n/I_n) = |S_n|$ [21, §3.6], this is a basis.

□

3.5 Descent Representations

Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$ be a partition with at most n parts. Let $P_\lambda^{\trianglelefteq}$ be the subspace of the polynomial ring $P_n = \mathbf{Q}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ spanned by all monomials whose exponent partition is dominated by λ:

$$P_\lambda^{\trianglelefteq} := span_{\mathbf{Q}}\{m \mid \lambda(m) \trianglelefteq \lambda\}.$$

Similarly, define $P_\lambda^{\triangleleft}$ by strict dominance:

$$P_\lambda^{\triangleleft} := span_{\mathbf{Q}}\{m \mid \lambda(m) \triangleleft \lambda\}.$$

These subspaces are S_n-modules consisting of homogeneous polynomials of degree $k = |\lambda|$.

Consider now the canonical projection of P_n onto the coinvariant algebra

$$\psi : P_n \longrightarrow P_n/I_n.$$

Define R_λ to be a quotient of images under this map:

$$R_\lambda := \psi(P_\lambda^{\trianglelefteq})/\psi(P_\lambda^{\triangleleft}).$$

Then R_λ is also an S_n-module. We will show that every homogeneous component of the coinvariant algebra may be decomposed into a direct sum of certain R_λ-s.

For any subset $S \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$ define a partition

$$\lambda_S := (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$$

by

$$\lambda_i := |\, S \cap \{i, \ldots, n\}\,| \qquad (1 \leq i \leq n).$$

For example, for any $\pi \in S_n$

$$\lambda_{Des(\pi)} = (d_1(\pi), \ldots, d_n(\pi)).$$

Hence

Claim 3.7 *For any permutation $\pi \in S_n$*

$$\lambda(a_\pi) = \lambda_{Des(\pi)}.$$

The Straightening Lemma (Lemma 3.5) implies

Lemma 3.8 *For any two permutations τ and π in S_n, the action of τ on the monomial $a_\pi \in P_n$ has the expression*

$$\tau(a_\pi) = \sum_{\{w \in S_n \mid \lambda(a_w) \trianglelefteq \lambda(a_\pi)\}} n_w a_w + p,$$

where $n_w \in \boldsymbol{Z}$ and $p \in I_n$.

Proof. Apply the Straightening Lemma (Lemma 3.5) to $m = \tau(a_\pi)$. Note that $e_{\mu(m')} \notin I_n$ iff $\mu(m') = \emptyset$, and then $m' = a_{\pi(m')}$. Denoting $w := \pi(m')$ we get : $m' \preceq m \Longrightarrow \lambda(a_w) = \lambda(m') \trianglelefteq \lambda(m) = \lambda(\tau(a_\pi)) = \lambda(a_\pi)$.

□

Another description of the S_n-module $\psi(P_\lambda^{\trianglelefteq})$ follows.

Lemma 3.9 *For any partition λ*

$$\psi(P_\lambda^{\trianglelefteq}) = span_{\mathbf{Q}}\{a_\pi + I_n \,|\, \pi \in S_n,\ \lambda(a_\pi) \trianglelefteq \lambda\}.$$

Proof. Clearly, for any $\pi \in S_n$ with $\lambda(a_\pi) \trianglelefteq \lambda$, $a_\pi + I_n = \psi(a_\pi) \in \psi(P_\lambda^{\trianglelefteq})$. The opposite inclusion follows from Lemma 3.5.

□

Let

$$J_\lambda^{\trianglelefteq} := span_{\mathbf{Q}}\{a_\pi + I_n \,|\, \pi \in S_n,\ \lambda(a_\pi) \trianglelefteq \lambda\}$$

and

$$J_\lambda^{\triangleleft} := span_{\mathbf{Q}}\{a_\pi + I_n \,|\, \pi \in S_n,\ \lambda(a_\pi) \triangleleft \lambda\}.$$

By Lemma 3.9

$$R_\lambda = J_\lambda^{\trianglelefteq}/J_\lambda^{\triangleleft}.$$

Hence

Corollary 3.10 *The following conditions on a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$ are equivalent :*

(1) $R_\lambda \neq 0$.

(2) $\lambda = \lambda(a_\pi)$ *for some* $\pi \in S_n$.

(3) $\lambda = \lambda_S$ *for some* $S \subseteq [n-1]$.

(4) The difference between consecutive parts of λ is either 0 or 1, i.e., (denoting $\lambda_{n+1} := 0$):

$$\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} \in \{0, 1\} \qquad (1 \leq i \leq n).$$

Proof. $R_\lambda \neq 0$ if and only if $J^{\lhd}_{\overline{\lambda}} \neq J^{\lhd}_{\lambda}$. This happens if and only if there exists a permutation $\pi \in S_n$ for which $\lambda(a_\pi) = \lambda$ (since, by Corollary 3.6, the various $a_\pi + I_n$ are linearly independent). By Claim 3.7, $\lambda(a_\pi) = \lambda_S$ for $S = Des(\pi)$. Conversely, any subset of $[n-1]$ is a descent set for an appropriate permutation. This shows the equivalence of the first three conditions. The equivalence of (3) and (4) follows easily from the definition of λ_S.

□

From now on denote $R_S := R_{\lambda_S}$. For $\pi \in S_n$, let $\bar{a}_\pi$ be the image of the descent basis element $a_\pi + I_n \in J^{\lhd}_{\overline{\lambda}_S}$ in the quotient R_S, where $S := Des(\pi)$.

Corollary 3.11 *For any subset $S \subseteq [n-1]$, the set*

$$\{\, \bar{a}_\pi \,|\, \pi \in S_n,\ Des(\pi) = S \,\}$$

forms a basis of R_S.

Proof. Follows by elementary linear algebra from Corollary 3.6, Claim 3.7, and the definitions of $J^{\lhd}_{\overline{S}}$, $J^{\lhd}_{S}$ and $\bar{a}_\pi$.

□

Recall the notation R_k for the k-th homogeneous component of the coinvariant algebra P_n/I_n.

Theorem 3.12 *For every $0 \leq k \leq \binom{n}{2}$,*

$$R_k \cong \bigoplus_S R_S$$

as S_n-modules, where the sum is over all subsets $S \subseteq [n-1]$ such that $\sum_{i \in S} i = k$.

Proof. Note that $a_\pi + I_n \in R_k \iff maj(\pi) = k$. It follows, by Corollary 3.6, that $\{a_\pi + I_n | maj(\pi) = k\}$ is a basis for R_k; so that, by Corollary 3.11, $R_k \cong \bigoplus_S R_S$ (sum over all $S \subseteq [n-1]$ with $\sum_{i \in S} i = k$) as vector spaces over $\mathbf{Q}$. By Maschke's Theorem, if V is a finite dimensional G-module for a finite group G (over a field of characteristic zero) and $W \subseteq V$ is a G-submodule, then $V \cong W \oplus (V/W)$ as G-modules. Apply this to the poset

$$\{J^{\lhd}_{\overline{S}} \mid S \subseteq [n-1]\ ,\ \sum_{i \in S} i = k\}$$

ordered by dominance order on the partitions λ_S. Using the definition of R_S, we get by induction on the poset the required isomorphism.

□

4 Decomposition of Descent Representations

In this section we refine the Lusztig-Stanley Theorem.

Theorem 4.1 *For any subset $S \subseteq [n-1]$ and partition $\mu \vdash n$, the multiplicity in R_S of the irreducible S_n-representation corresponding to μ is*

$$m_{S,\mu} := |\{ T \in SYT(\mu) \mid Des(T) = S \}|.$$

The proof applies an argument of Stanley (cf. [28, Theorem 8.8] and references therein), using symmetric functions. Its use is possible here due to the Straightening Lemma. A key lemma in the proof is a multivariate version of Stanley's formula.

4.1 A Multivariate Version of Stanley's Formula

In this subsection we state and prove a multivariate version of a well known formula of Stanley for the principal specialization of a Schur function.

Recall that $s_\lambda(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ denotes the Schur function corresponding to a partition λ of n. Then

Proposition 4.2 *[36, Prop. 7.19.11] If λ is a partition of n then*

$$s_\lambda(1, q, q^2, \ldots, q^{n-1}) = \frac{\sum_{T \in SYT(\lambda)} q^{maj(T)}}{(1-q)(1-q^2)\cdots(1-q^n)},$$

where T runs through all standard Young tableaux of shape λ.

Define $\mathbf{Q}[[z_1, z_1z_2, \ldots]]$ to be the ring of formal power series in countably many variables $z_1, z_1z_2, \ldots, z_1z_2\cdots z_k, \ldots$; a linear basis for it consists of the monomials $z^\lambda := z_1^{\lambda_1}\cdots z_n^{\lambda_k}$ for all partitions $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k)$ $(\lambda_1 \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_k \geq 0)$. Let $\mathbf{Q}[[q_1, q_1q_2, \ldots]]$ be similarly defined.

Let $\iota : \mathbf{Q}[[z_1, z_1z_2, \ldots]] \longrightarrow \mathbf{Q}[[q_1, q_1q_2, \ldots]]$ be defined by

$$\iota(z^\lambda) := q^{\lambda'} \qquad (\forall \lambda), \tag{4.1}$$

where λ' is the partition conjugate to λ. Extend ι by linearity. Note that ι is *not* a ring homomorphism.

For any standard Young tableau T define

$$d_i(T) := |\{ j \geq i \,|\, j \in Des(T) \}| \qquad (1 \leq i \leq n),$$

where $Des(T)$ is the set of all descents in T (as in Subsection 2.3).

Lemma 4.3 (Multivariate Formula) *If λ is a partition of n then*

$$\iota[s_\lambda(1, z_1, z_1z_2, \ldots, z_1z_2\cdots z_i, \ldots)] = \frac{\sum_{T\in SYT(\lambda)} \prod_{i=1}^n q_i^{d_i(T)}}{\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - q_1q_2\cdots q_i)},$$

where T runs through all standard Young tableaux of shape λ.

Note that Proposition 4.2 is the special case obtained by substituting $q_1 = q_2 = \ldots = q_n = q$. Lemma 4.3 is actually implicit in [32, p. 27] (formula (18), within the proof of Theorem 9.1), in the general context of (P, ω)-partitions. The fact that the LHS there is equal to the LHS above is part of the following proof, which will later be adapted to prove a B-analogue (Lemma 5.10 below). The key bijection is basically the one used in [38, Lemma 3.1].

Proof. By Claim 2.1

$$s_\lambda(x_1, x_2, \ldots) = \sum_{\hat{T}\in RSSYT(\lambda)} \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i^{m_i(\hat{T})},$$

where $\hat{T}$ runs through all reverse semi-standard Young tableaux of shape λ; and

$$m_i(\hat{T}) := |\{\text{cells in } \hat{T} \text{ with entry } i\}| \qquad (\forall i \geq 1).$$

Letting

$$x_1 = 1$$

and

$$x_i = z_1z_2\cdots z_{i-1} \qquad (i \geq 2)$$

we get

$$s_\lambda(1, z_1, z_1z_2, \ldots) = \sum_{\hat{T}\in RSSYT(\lambda)} \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} z_i^{m_{>i}(\hat{T})},$$

where

$$m_{>i}(\hat{T}) := |\{\text{cells in } \hat{T} \text{ with entry } > i\}| \qquad (\forall i).$$

Of course,

$$m_{>0}(\hat{T}) = n.$$

Let $\mu(\hat{T})$ be the vector $(m_{>1}(\hat{T}), m_{>2}(\hat{T}), \ldots)$. Then $\mu(\hat{T})$ is a partition with largest part at most n. Note that the conjugate partition is

$$\mu(\hat{T})' = (\hat{T}_1 - 1, \hat{T}_2 - 1, \ldots, \hat{T}_n - 1),$$

where $\hat{T}_1, \ldots, \hat{T}_n$ are the entries of $\hat{T}$ in weakly decreasing order. Thus

$$\iota[s_\lambda(1, z_1, z_1z_2, \ldots)] = \sum_{\hat{T} \in RSSYT(\lambda)} \prod_{i=1}^{n} q_i^{\hat{T}_i - 1}. \tag{4.2}$$

Recall that $SYT(\lambda)$ and $RSSYT(\lambda)$ are the sets of standard Young tableaux and reverse semi-standard Young tableaux of shape λ, respectively. For any $\lambda \vdash n$ define a map

$$\phi_\lambda \, : \, RSSYT(\lambda) \longrightarrow SYT(\lambda) \times \boldsymbol{N}^n$$

by

$$\phi_\lambda(\hat{T}) := (T, \Delta),$$

where, for $\hat{T} \in RSSYT(\lambda)$, T is a standard Young tableau of shape λ and $\Delta = (\Delta_1, \ldots, \Delta_n)$ is a sequence of nonnegative integers, defined as follows:

(1) Let $(\hat{T}_1, \ldots, \hat{T}_n)$ be the vector of entries of $\hat{T}$, in weakly decreasing order. Then T is the standard Young tableau, of the same shape as $\hat{T}$, having entry i $(1 \le i \le n)$ in the same cell in which $\hat{T}$ has entry $\hat{T}_i$. If some of the entries of $\hat{T}$ are equal then they necessarily belong to distinct columns, and the corresponding entries of T are then chosen increasing from left to right (i.e., with increasing column indices).

(2) Define

$$\Delta_i := \hat{T}_i - d_i(T) - \hat{T}_{i+1} + d_{i+1}(T) \qquad (1 \le i \le n),$$

where, by convention, $\hat{T}_{n+1} := 1$ and $d_{n+1}(T) := 0$.

Example. Let $\lambda = (3, 2, 2)$ and

$$\hat{T} = \begin{array}{ccc} 7 & 4 & 4 \\ 4 & 2 & \\ 3 & 1 & \end{array} \in RSSYT(\lambda).$$

Computing $\phi_\lambda(\hat{T}) = (T, \Delta)$, the first step yields

$$T = \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 6 & \\ 5 & 7 & \end{array} \in SYT(\lambda),$$

so that $Des(T) = \{1, 4, 6\}$. Therefore, $(\hat{T}_1 - d_1(T), \ldots, \hat{T}_7 - d_7(T)) = (4, 2, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1)$ and $\Delta = (2, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0)$.

The following claim is easy to verify.

Claim 4.4 *(1) ϕ_λ is a bijection.*

(2) If $(\hat{T}_1, \ldots, \hat{T}_n)$ is the vector of entries of $\hat{T}$, in weakly decreasing order, and $\phi_\lambda(\hat{T}) = (T, \Delta)$, then

$$\hat{T}_i - 1 = d_i(T) + \sum_{j \geq i} \Delta_j \qquad (1 \leq i \leq n).$$

By Claim 4.4, for any $\hat{T} \in RSSYT(\lambda)$

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} q_i^{\hat{T}_i - 1} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} q_i^{d_i(T)} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{n} (q_1 \cdots q_j)^{\Delta_j}, \tag{4.3}$$

where $(T, \Delta) = \phi_\lambda(\hat{T})$.

Substituting (4.3) into (4.2) we get the formula in the statement of Lemma 4.3.

□

4.2 Proof of Theorem 4.1

For a permutation $\tau \in S_n$ let the (graded) *trace* of its action on the polynomial ring P_n be

$$Tr_{P_n}(\tau) := \sum_m \langle \tau(m), m \rangle \cdot \bar{q}^{\lambda(m)},$$

where the sum is over all monomials m in P_n, $\lambda(m)$ is the exponent partition of the monomial m, and the inner product is such that the set of all monomials is an orthonormal basis for P_n. In particular, $\langle \tau(m), m \rangle \in \{0, 1\}$ $(\forall \tau \in S_n)$.

Claim 4.5 *If $\tau \in S_n$ is of cycle type μ then the trace of its action on P_n is*

$$Tr_{P_n}(\tau) = \iota[p_\mu(1, z_1, z_1 z_2, \ldots)],$$

where p_μ is the power sum symmetric function corresponding to μ, and $z_1, z_2, \ldots$ are independent variables.

Proof. For a monomial m in P_n, $\langle \tau(m), m \rangle = 1$ (i.e., $\tau(m) = m$) if and only if, for each cycle of τ, the variables x_i with indices in that cycle all have the same exponent in m. If $\mu = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_t)$ is the cycle type of τ we thus obtain, for each sequence $(e_1, \ldots, e_t) \in \boldsymbol{N}^t$, a unique monomial m with

$\tau(m) = m$ and e_j as the common exponent for cycle number j $(1 \leq j \leq t)$. Thus $\lambda(m)$ consists of μ_j copies of e_j $(1 \leq j \leq t)$, reordered; and

$$\iota^{-1}[\bar{q}^{\lambda(m)}] = \bar{z}^{\lambda(m)'} = \prod_{j=1}^{t} (z_1 \cdots z_{e_j})^{\mu_j}.$$

Summing over all choices of $e_1, \ldots, e_t$ gives $p_\mu(1, z_1, z_1 z_2, \ldots)$.

□

Recall that for the coinvariant algebra P_n/I_n we have the descent basis $\{a_\pi + I_n \mid \pi \in S_n\}$. Define, for $\tau \in S_n$:

$$Tr_{P_n/I_n}(\tau) := \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \langle \tau(a_\pi + I_n), a_\pi + I_n \rangle \cdot \bar{q}^{\lambda(a_\pi)},$$

where the inner product is such that the descent basis is orthonormal.

From the Straightening Lemma (Lemma 3.5) it follows that

Claim 4.6 *For every $n \geq 1$ and every $\tau \in S_n$*

$$Tr_{P_n}(\tau) = Tr_{P_n/I_n}(\tau) \cdot \sum_{\lambda} \bar{q}^{\lambda},$$

where the sum is over all partitions λ with at most n parts.

Proof. Replace the monomial basis of P_n by the homogeneous polynomial basis $\{a_\pi e_\mu |\ \pi \in S_n,\ \mu$ is a partition with largest part at most $n\}$. The trace $Tr_{P_n}(\tau)$ is not changed, provided that we now use the inner product for which the new basis is orthonormal. Note that $\tau(e_\mu) = e_\mu$ $(\forall \tau \in S_n)$. Also note that, by the Straightening Lemma, the $\prec$-maximal monomial m in $a_\pi e_\mu$ has an exponent partition $\lambda(m) = \lambda(a_\pi) + \mu'$, where addition of partitions is componentwise.

□

Observation 4.7

$$\sum_{\lambda} \bar{q}^{\lambda} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{1 - q_1 \cdots q_i},$$

where the sum is over all partitions λ with at most n parts.

Using the Frobenius formula, the multivariate version of Stanley's formula (Lemma 4.3) and Claim 4.5, we obtain for every permutation $\tau \in S_n$

of cycle type μ:

$$\begin{aligned} Tr_{P_n}(\tau) &= \iota[p_\mu(1, z_1, z_1z_2, \ldots)] = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \chi^\lambda_\mu \iota[s_\lambda(1, z_1, z_1z_2, \ldots)] = \\ &= \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \chi^\lambda_\mu \cdot \frac{\sum_{T \in SYT(\lambda)} \prod_{i=1}^n q_i^{d_i(T)}}{\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - q_1q_2 \cdots q_i)}. \end{aligned}$$

By Claim 4.6 and Observation 4.7 we now get

$$Tr_{P_n/I_n}(\tau) = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \chi^\lambda_\mu \sum_{T \in SYT(\lambda)} \prod_{i=1}^n q_i^{d_i(T)}.$$

We conclude that the graded multiplicity in P_n/I_n of the irreducible S_n-representation corresponding to λ is

$$\sum_{T \in SYT(\lambda)} \prod_{i=1}^n q_i^{d_i(T)} = \sum_{T \in SYT(\lambda)} \bar{q}^{\lambda_{Des(T)}}.$$

Consider now the claim of Theorem 3.12. Its proof shows that

$$P_n/I_n \cong \bigoplus_{S \subseteq [n-1]} R_S$$

actually holds as an isomorphism of *graded* S_n-modules. By Corollary 3.11 and Claim 3.7, R_S is the homogeneous component of multi-degree λ_S in P_n/I_n. It thus follows that the multiplicity in R_S of the irreducible S_n-representation corresponding to λ is

$$|\{T \in SYT(\lambda) \,|\, Des(T) = S\}|,$$

and the proof of Theorem 4.1 is complete.

□

5 Descent Representations for Type B

In this section we give B-analogues of the concepts and results in Sections 3 and 4.

5.1 The Signed Descent Basis

For any signed permutation $\sigma \in B_n$ let

$$Des(\sigma) := \{i \in [n-1] : \ \sigma(i) > \sigma(i+1)\}$$

be the set of descents in σ with respect to the standard linear order on the integers, and let

$$d_i(\sigma) := |\{j \in Des(\sigma) : \ j \geq i\}| \qquad (1 \leq i \leq n)$$

be the number of descents in σ from position i on.

Also let

$$\varepsilon_i(\sigma) := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \sigma(i) < 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$f_i(\sigma) := 2d_i(\sigma) + \varepsilon_i(\sigma).$$

Note that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i(\sigma) = fmaj(\sigma).$$

Finally, associate to σ the monomial

$$b_\sigma := \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{|\sigma(i)|}^{f_i(\sigma)}.$$

We will show that the set $\{b_\sigma + I_n^B \,|\, \sigma \in B_n\}$ forms a linear basis for the coinvariant algebra of type B. We call it the *signed descent basis*.

5.2 Straightening

The *signed index permutation* of a monomial $m = \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{p_i} \in P_n$ is the unique signed permutation $\sigma = \sigma(m) \in B_n$ such that

$$p_{|\sigma(i)|} \geq p_{|\sigma(i+1)|} \qquad (1 \leq i < n), \tag{1}$$

$$p_{|\sigma(i)|} = p_{|\sigma(i+1)|} \Longrightarrow \sigma(i) < \sigma(i+1) \tag{2}$$

and

$$p_{|\sigma(i)|} \equiv 0 (\text{mod } 2) \Longleftrightarrow \sigma(i) > 0. \tag{3}$$

In other words, σ reorders the variables x_i as does the corresponding index permutation of type A (see Subsection 3.2), after attaching a minus sign to (indices of) variables with odd exponents. Note that this reverses the order of "negative" indices.

Example. $m = x_1^2x_2^3x_3^2x_5x_6^3$ and $n = 7$. Then $m = x_2^3x_6^3x_1^2x_3^2x_5^1x_4^0x_7^0$ and $\sigma(m) = [-6, -2, 1, 3, -5, 4, 7] \in B_7$.

Let $m = \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{p_i}$ be a monomial in P_n, $\sigma = \sigma(m)$ its signed index permutation, and b_σ the corresponding signed descent basis element. In analogy with Claim 3.1 (for type A) we have

Claim 5.1 *The sequence $(p_{|\sigma(i)|} - f_i(\sigma))_{i=1}^n$ of exponents in m/b_σ consists of nonnegative even integers, and is weakly decreasing:*

$$p_{|\sigma(i)|} - f_i(\sigma) \geq p_{|\sigma(i+1)|} - f_{i+1}(\sigma) \qquad (1 \leq i < n).$$

Proof. By condition (3) above $\varepsilon_i(\sigma) = 0 \iff p_{|\sigma(i)|} \equiv 0 (\mathrm{mod}\ 2)$. The numbers $p_{|\sigma(i)|} - f_i = p_{|\sigma(i)|} - \varepsilon_i(\sigma) - 2d_i(\sigma)$ are therefore even integers. Also $d_n(\sigma) = 0$ so that $p_{|\sigma(n)|} - f_n = p_{|\sigma(n)|} - \varepsilon_n(\sigma) \geq 0$. It remains to show that the sequence is weakly decreasing.

If $\sigma(i) < \sigma(i+1)$ then $d_i(\sigma) = d_{i+1}(\sigma)$, and $p_{|\sigma(i+1)|} - \varepsilon_{i+1}(\sigma) \geq p_{|\sigma(i)|} - \varepsilon_i(\sigma)$ since their difference is an even integer ≥ -1.

If $\sigma(i) > \sigma(i+1)$ and $\varepsilon_i(\sigma) = \varepsilon_{i+1}(\sigma)$ then $d_i(\sigma) = d_{i+1}(\sigma) + 1$; so $(p_{|\sigma(i+1)|} - f_{i+1}(\sigma)) - (p_{|\sigma(i)|} - f_i(\sigma)) = p_{|\sigma(i+1)|} - p_{|\sigma(i)|} - 2 \geq 0$ since $p_{|\sigma(i+1)|} \equiv p_{|\sigma(i+1)|} (\mathrm{mod}\ 2)$ and because of conditions (1) and (2) above.

Finally, if $\sigma(i) > \sigma(i+1)$ and $\varepsilon_i(\sigma) \neq \varepsilon_{i+1}(\sigma)$ then $\sigma(i) > 0 > \sigma(i+1)$ so that $\varepsilon_i(\sigma) = 0$, $\varepsilon(i+1) = 1$ and $d_i(\sigma) = d_{i+1}(\sigma) + 1$. Thus $(p_{|\sigma(i+1)|} - f_{i+1}(\sigma)) - (p_{|\sigma(i)|} - f_i(\sigma)) = p_{|\sigma(i+1)|} - p_{|\sigma(i)|} - 1 \geq 0$.

□

Denote by $\mu_B(m)$ the partition conjugate to $(\frac{p_{|\sigma(i)|} - f_i(\sigma)}{2})_{i=1}^n$.

Definition: For monomials $m_1, m_2 \in P_n$ of the same total degree, $m_1 \prec_B m_2$ if, for every $1 \leq i \leq n$, the exponents of x_i in m_1 and m_2 have the same parity; and also either

(1) $\lambda(m_1) \lhd \lambda(m_2)$ (strictly smaller in dominance order); or

(2) $\lambda(m_1) = \lambda(m_2)$ and $inv(\pi(m_1)) > inv(\pi(m_2))$.

Here $\pi(m_i)$ is the (unsigned) index permutation of m_i as in Subsection 3.2.

Imitating the proof of Lemma 3.5 we obtain

Corollary 5.2 (Straightening Lemma) *Each monomial $m \in P_n$ has an expression*

$$m = e_{\mu_B(m)}(x_1^2, \ldots, x_n^2) \cdot b_{\sigma(m)} + \sum_{m' \prec_B m} n_{m',m} e_{\mu_B(m')}(x_1^2, \ldots, x_n^2) \cdot b_{\sigma(m')},$$

where $n_{m',m}$ are integers.

5.3 Construction of Descent Representations

Recall (from Subsection 2.5) the definition of I_n^B.

Corollary 5.3 *The set*

$$\{b_\sigma + I_n^B \mid \sigma \in B_n\}$$

forms a basis for the coinvariant algebra P_n/I_n^B.

Proof. Similar to the proof of Corollary 3.6.

□

Remark. A different proof of Corollary 5.3 may be obtained by combining and extending the proofs of [5, Theorems 1(3) and 2].

Corollary 5.4 *For any pair of elements τ and σ in B_n, the action of τ on $b_\sigma \in P_n$ has the expression*

$$\tau(b_\sigma) = \sum_{\{w \in B_n \mid \lambda(b_w) \trianglelefteq \lambda(b_\sigma)\}} n_w b_w + p,$$

where $n_w \in \boldsymbol{Z}$ and $p \in I_n^B$.

Proof. The same as the proof of Lemma 3.8. □

Note that, actually, $n_w \neq 0$ in Corollary 5.4 only if b_w and b_σ have the same number of odd exponents. Note also that, unlike the case of type A (Claim 3.7), for $\sigma \in B_n$, $\lambda(b_\sigma)$ and $\lambda_{Des(\sigma)}$ are not necessarily equal. Indeed, for subsets $S_1 \subseteq [n-1]$ and $S_2 \subseteq [n]$ define a vector λ_{S_1,S_2} by

$$\lambda_{S_1,S_2} := 2\lambda_{S_1} + \mathbf{1}_{S_2},$$

where λ_{S_1} is as in Subsection 3.5 above, $\mathbf{1}_{S_2} \in \{0,1\}^n$ is the characteristic vector of S_2, and addition is componentwise. Thus

$$\lambda_{S_1,S_2}(i) = 2 \cdot |\{j \geq i |\ j \in S_1\}| + \mathbf{1}_{S_2}(i).$$

It should be noted that λ_{S_1,S_2} is not always a partition.

Claim 5.5 *For any* $\sigma \in B_n$

$$\lambda(b_\sigma) = \lambda_{S_1,S_2}$$

where $Des(\sigma) = S_1$ *and* $Neg(\sigma) = S_2$.

Now define

$$R^B_\lambda := \psi^B(P^{\trianglelefteq}_\lambda) \,/\, \psi^B(P^{\triangleleft}_\lambda),$$

where $\psi^B : P_n \longrightarrow P_n/I^B_n$ is the canonical map from P_n onto the coinvariant algebra of type B, and $P^{\trianglelefteq}$, $P^{\triangleleft}$ are as in Subsection 3.5.

By arguments similar to those given in the proof of Corollary 3.9, R^B_λ may be described via the signed descent basis :

$$R^B_\lambda = J^{\trianglelefteq}_{\lambda,B}/J^{\triangleleft}_{\lambda,B},$$

where

$$J^{\trianglelefteq}_{\lambda,B} := span_{\mathbf{Q}}\{b_\sigma + I^B_n \mid \sigma \in B_n,\ \lambda(b_\sigma) \trianglelefteq \lambda\},$$

and

$$J^{\triangleleft}_{\lambda,B} := span_{\mathbf{Q}}\{b_\sigma + I^B_n \mid \sigma \in B_n,\ \lambda(b_\sigma) \triangleleft \lambda\}.$$

Corollary 5.6 *The following conditions on a partition* $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$ *are equivalent :*

(1) $R^B_\lambda \neq 0$.

(2) $\lambda = \lambda(b_\sigma)$ *for some* $\sigma \in B_n$.

(3) $\lambda = \lambda_{S_1,S_2}$ *for some* $S_1 \subseteq [n-1]$ *and* $S_2 \subseteq [n]$, *and* λ_{S_1,S_2} *is a partition.*

(4) The difference between consecutive parts of λ *is either* 0, 1 *or* 2, *i.e. (denoting* $\lambda_{n+1} := 0$*):*

$$\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} \in \{0, 1, 2\} \qquad (1 \leq i \leq n).$$

Proof. Similar to the proof of Corollary 3.10. □

From now on, denote $R^B_{S_1,S_2} := R^B_{\lambda_{S_1,S_2}}$. For $\sigma \in B_n$, denote by $\bar{b}_\sigma$ the image of the signed descent basis element $b_\sigma + I^B_w \in J^{\trianglelefteq}_{\lambda_{S_1,S_2},B}$ in $R^B_{S_1,S_2}$.

Corollary 5.7 *For any* $S_1 \subseteq [n-1]$ *and* $S_2 \subseteq [n]$, *the set*

$$\{\, \bar{b}_\sigma \,|\, \sigma \in B_n,\ Des(\sigma) = S_1,\ Neg(\sigma) = S_2\}$$

forms a basis of $R^B_{S_1,S_2}$.

Recall the notation R_k^B for the k-th homogeneous component of P_n/I_n^B.

Theorem 5.8 *For every $0 \leq k \leq n^2$,*

$$R_k^B \cong \bigoplus_{S_1,S_2} R^B_{S_1,S_2},$$

as B_n-modules, where the sum is over all subsets $S_1 \subseteq [n-1]$ and $S_2 \subseteq [n]$ such that λ_{S_1,S_2} is a partition and

$$2 \cdot \sum_{i \in S_1} i + |S_2| = k.$$

Proof. Similar to the proof of Theorem 3.12. □

5.4 Decomposition of Descent Representations

In this subsection we give a B-analogue of Theorem 4.1.

Theorem 5.9 *For any pair of subsets $S_1 \subseteq [n-1]$, $S_2 \subseteq [n]$, and a bi-partition (μ^1, μ^2) of n, the multiplicity of the irreducible B_n-representation corresponding to (μ^1, μ^2) in $R^B_{S_1,S_2}$ is*

$$m_{S_1,S_2,\mu^1,\mu^2} := |\{\, T \in SYT(\mu^1,\mu^2) \mid Des(T) = S_1 \text{ and } Neg(T) = S_2 \,\}|.$$

Again, we need a (type B) multivariate version of Proposition 4.2. Recall definition (4.1) of the mapping ι.

Let (λ^1, λ^2) be a bipartition of n. Recall the definitions of a standard Young tableau $T = (T^1, T^2)$ of shape (λ^1, λ^2) and the sets $Des(T)$ and $Neg(T)$ from Subsection 2.3. Denote the set of all standard tableaux of shape (λ^1, λ^2) by $SYT(\lambda^1, \lambda^2)$. A *reverse semi-standard Young tableau of shape* (λ^1, λ^2) is a pair $(\hat{T}^1, \hat{T}^2)$ of reverse semi-standard Young tableaux, where: $\hat{T}^i$ has shape λ^i $(i = 1, 2)$; the entries of $\hat{T}^i$ are congruent to i (mod 2); the entries in each row are weakly decreasing; and the entries in each column are strictly decreasing. Denote by $RSSYT(\lambda^1, \lambda^2)$ the set of all such tableaux.

For any standard Young tableau $T = (T^1, T^2)$ of shape (λ^1, λ^2) define

$$d_i(T) := |\{j \in Des(T) \,|\, j \geq i\}|,$$

$$\varepsilon_i(T) := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i \in Neg(T), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$f_i(T) := 2d_i(T) + \varepsilon_i(T).$$

Then

$$fmaj(T) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i(T).$$

Lemma 5.10 *If (λ^1, λ^2) is a bipartition of n then*

$$\iota[s_{\lambda^1}(1, z_1z_2, z_1z_2z_3z_4, \ldots) \cdot s_{\lambda^2}(z_1, z_1z_2z_3, \ldots)] =$$

$$= \frac{\sum_{T \in SYT(\lambda^1,\lambda^2)} \prod_{i=1}^{n} q_i^{f_i(T)}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n}(1 - q_1^2q_2^2 \cdots q_i^2)},$$

where T runs through all standard Young tableaux of shape (λ^1, λ^2).

Proof. By Claim 2.1

$$s_\lambda(x_1, x_2, \ldots) = \sum_{\hat{T} \in RSSYT(\lambda)} \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i^{m_i(\hat{T})},$$

where $\hat{T}$ runs through all reverse semi-standard Young tableaux of shape λ, and

$$m_i(\hat{T}) := |\{\text{cells in } \hat{T} \text{ with entry } i\}| \qquad (\forall i \geq 1).$$

Note that for $(\hat{T}^1, \hat{T}^2) \in RSSYT(\lambda^1, \lambda^2)$ we have $(\hat{T}^1 + 1)/2 \in RSSYT(\lambda^1)$, where $(\hat{T}^1 + 1)/2$ is obtained by replacing each entry i of $\hat{T}^1$ by $(i + 1)/2$; and similarly $\hat{T}^2/2 \in RSSYT(\lambda^2)$. Thus

$$s_{\lambda^1}(x_1, x_2, \ldots) \cdot s_{\lambda^2}(y_1, y_2, \ldots) = \sum_{(\hat{T}^1,\hat{T}^2) \in RSSYT(\lambda^1,\lambda^2)} \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i^{m_{2i-1}(\hat{T}^1)} y_i^{m_{2i}(\hat{T}^2)}.$$

Letting

$$x_1 = 1$$

and

$$x_i = z_1z_2 \cdots z_{2i-2} \qquad (i \geq 2)$$

for s_{λ^1}, while

$$y_i = z_1z_2 \cdots z_{2i-1} \qquad (i \geq 1)$$

for s_{λ^2}, we get

$$s_{\lambda^1}(1, z_1z_2, \ldots) \cdot s_{\lambda^2}(z_1, z_1z_2z_3, \ldots) = \sum_{(\hat{T}^1,\hat{T}^2)\in RSSYT(\lambda^1,\lambda^2)} \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} z_i^{m_{>i}(\hat{T}^1,\hat{T}^2)},$$

where

$$m_{>i}(\hat{T}^1, \hat{T}^2) := |\{\text{cells in } (\hat{T}^1, \hat{T}^2) \text{ with entry } > i\}| \qquad (\forall i \geq 1).$$

Let $\mu(\hat{T}^1, \hat{T}^2)$ be the vector $(m_{>1}(\hat{T}^1, \hat{T}^2), m_{>2}(\hat{T}^1, \hat{T}^2), \ldots)$. Then $\mu(\hat{T}^1, \hat{T}^2)$ is a partition with largest part at most n. The conjugate partition is

$$\mu(\hat{T}^1, \hat{T}^2)' = ((\hat{T}^1, \hat{T}^2)_1 - 1, (\hat{T}^1, \hat{T}^2)_2 - 1, \ldots, (\hat{T}^1, \hat{T}^2)_n - 1),$$

where $(\hat{T}^1, \hat{T}^2)_1, \ldots, (\hat{T}^1, \hat{T}^2)_n$ are the entries of $(\hat{T}^1, \hat{T}^2)$ in weakly decreasing order.

Thus

$$\iota[s_{\lambda^1}(1, z_1z_2, \ldots) \cdot s_{\lambda^2}(z_1, \ldots)] = \sum_{(\hat{T}^1,\hat{T}^2)\in RSSYT(\lambda^1,\lambda^2)} \prod_{i=1}^{n} q_i^{(\hat{T}^1,\hat{T}^2)_i - 1}. \tag{5.1}$$

For any bipartition (λ^1, λ^2) of n define a map

$$\phi_{(\lambda^1,\lambda^2)} : RSSYT(\lambda^1, \lambda^2) \longrightarrow SYT(\lambda^1, \lambda^2) \times \boldsymbol{N}^n$$

by

$$\phi_{(\lambda^1,\lambda^2)}(\hat{T}^1, \hat{T}^2) := ((T^1, T^2), \Delta),$$

where, for $(\hat{T}^1, \hat{T}^2) \in RSSYT(\lambda^1, \lambda^2)$, (T^1, T^2) is a standard Young tableau of shape (λ^1, λ^2) and $\Delta = (\Delta_1, \ldots, \Delta_n)$ is a sequence of nonnegative integers, defined as follows:

(1) Let $((\hat{T}^1, \hat{T}^2)_1, \ldots, (\hat{T}^1, \hat{T}^2)_n)$ be the vector of entries of $(\hat{T}^1, \hat{T}^2)$, in weakly decreasing order. Then (T^1, T^2) is the standard Young tableau, of the same shape as $(\hat{T}^1, \hat{T}^2)$, with entry i $(1 \leq i \leq n)$ in the same cell in which $(\hat{T}^1, \hat{T}^2)$ has entry $(\hat{T}^1, \hat{T}^2)_i$. If some of the entries of $(\hat{T}^1, \hat{T}^2)$ are equal then they necessarily belong to distinct columns (in the same tableau), and the corresponding entries of (T^1, T^2) are then chosen as consecutive integers, increasing from left to right (i.e., with increasing column indices).

(2) Define

$$\Delta_i := ((\hat{T}^1,\hat{T}^2)_i - f_i(T^1,T^2) - (\hat{T}^1,\hat{T}^2)_{i+1} + f_{i+1}(T^1,T^2))/2 \qquad (1 \le i \le n),$$

where, by convention, $(\hat{T}^1,\hat{T}^2)_{n+1} := 1$ and $f_{n+1} := 0$.

Example. Let $(\lambda^1,\lambda^2) = ((3,1),(2,1))$ be a bipartition of 7, and let

$$(\hat{T}^1,\hat{T}^2) = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 11 & 7 & 3 \\ 3 & & \end{array} \; , \; \begin{array}{cc} 10 & 8 \\ 2 & \end{array} \right) \in RSSYT(\lambda^1,\lambda^2).$$

Computing $\phi_{(\lambda^1,\lambda^2)}(\hat{T}^1,\hat{T}^2) = ((T^1,T^2),\Delta)$, the first step yields

$$(T^1,T^2) = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 4 & 6 \\ 5 & & \end{array} \; , \; \begin{array}{cc} 2 & 3 \\ 7 & \end{array} \right) \in SYT(\lambda^1,\lambda^2),$$

so that $Des(T^1,T^2) = \{1,4,6\}$ and $Neg(T^1,T^2) = \{2,3,7\}$. Therefore, $(f_1(T^1,T^2),\ldots,f_7(T^1,T^2)) = (6,5,5,4,2,2,1)$, $((\hat{T}^1,\hat{T}^2)_1 - f_1(T^1,T^2),\ldots,$ $(\hat{T}^1,\hat{T}^2)_7 - f_7(T^1,T^2)) = (5,5,3,3,1,1,1)$, and $\Delta = (0,1,0,1,0,0,0)$.

The following claim is easy to verify

Claim 5.11 *(1) $\phi_{(\lambda^1,\lambda^2)}$ is a bijection.*

(2) If $((\hat{T}^1,\hat{T}^2)_1,\ldots,(\hat{T}^1,\hat{T}^2)_n)$ is the vector of entries of $(\hat{T}^1,\hat{T}^2)$, in weakly decreasing order, and $\phi_{(\lambda^1,\lambda^2)}(\hat{T}^1,\hat{T}^2) = ((T^1,T^2),\Delta)$, then

$$(\hat{T}^1,\hat{T}^2)_i - 1 = f_i(T^1,T^2) + \sum_{j \ge i} 2\Delta_j \qquad (1 \le i \le n).$$

By Claim 5.11, for any $(\hat{T}^1,\hat{T}^2) \in RSSYT(\lambda^1,\lambda^2)$

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} q_i^{(\hat{T}^1,\hat{T}^2)_i - 1} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} q_i^{f_i(T^1,T^2)} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{n} (q_1 \cdots q_j)^{2\Delta_j}. \tag{5.2}$$

Substituting (5.2) into (5.1) we get the formula in the statement of Lemma 5.10.

□

5.5 Proof of Theorem 5.9

For a permutation $\tau \in B_n$ let the *trace* of its action on the polynomial ring P_n be

$$Tr_{P_n}(\tau) := \sum_m \langle \tau(m), m \rangle \ \bar{q}^{\lambda(m)},$$

where the sum is over all monomials m in P_n, $\lambda(m)$ is the exponent partition of the monomial m, and the inner product is such that the set of all monomials is an orthonormal basis for P_n.

Claim 5.12 *If $\tau \in B_n$ is of cycle type (μ^1, μ^2) then the trace of its action on P_n is*

$$Tr_{P_n}(\tau) = \iota[p_{\mu^1,\mu^2}(\bar{x}, \bar{y})],$$

where p_{μ_1,μ_2} is as in Subsection 2.4,

$$\bar{x} := (1, z_1 z_2, z_1 z_2 z_3 z_4, \ldots)$$

and

$$\bar{y} := (z_1, z_1 z_2 z_3, \ldots).$$

Proof. Let $\mu^1 = (1^{\alpha_1}, 2^{\alpha_2}, \ldots)$ and $\mu^2 = (1^{\beta_1}, 2^{\beta_2}, \ldots)$. Then $\langle \tau(m), m \rangle \neq 0$ (and is ± 1) iff all variables x_i with i in the same cycle of τ have equal exponents in m. The sign depends on the number of negative cycles with odd exponent. Thus

$$\iota^{-1}[Tr_{P_n}(\tau)] = \prod_{i \geq 1} \left(\sum_{t \geq 0} (z_1 \cdots z_t)^i \right)^{\alpha_i} \cdot \prod_{j \geq 1} \left(\sum_{s \geq 0} (-1)^s (z_1 \cdots z_s)^j \right)^{\beta_j} =$$

$$= p_{\mu^1,\mu^2}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}).$$

□

Proof of Theorem 5.9. From the Straightening Lemma for type B (Corollary 5.2) it follows that for any $\tau \in B_n$

$$Tr_{R_n^B}(\tau) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{1 - (q_1 \cdots q_i)^2} = Tr_{P_n}(\tau).$$

Inserting Claim 5.12 and the Frobenius formula for type B (see Subsection 2.4) we obtain

$$Tr_{R_n^B}(\tau) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} [1 - (q_1 \cdots q_i)^2] \ \cdot \ \iota[p_{\mu^1,\mu^2}(\bar{x}, \bar{y})] =$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{n}[1-(q_1\cdots q_i)^2] \ \cdot \ \iota[\sum_{\lambda^1,\lambda^2} \chi^{\lambda^1,\lambda^2}_{\mu^1,\mu^2} s_{\lambda^1}(\bar{x}) s_{\lambda^2}(\bar{y})].$$

Applying the linearity of ι and Lemma 5.10 gives

$$Tr_{R_n^B}(\tau) = \sum_{\lambda^1,\lambda^2} \chi^{\lambda^1,\lambda^2}_{\mu^1,\mu^2} \sum_{(T^1,T^2)\in SYT(\lambda^1,\lambda^2)} \prod_{i=1}^{n} q_i^{f_i(T^1,T^2)}.$$

□

6 Combinatorial Identities

In this section we apply the above algebraic setting to obtain new combinatorial identities. The basic algebraic-combinatorial tool here is the Hilbert series of polynomial rings with respect to multi-degree rearranged into a weakly decreasing sequence (i.e., a partition). The computation of the Hilbert series follows in general the one presented in [28, §8.3] for total degree; the major difference is the fact that total degree gives a *grading* of the polynomial ring, whereas rearranged multi-degree only leads to a *filtration*: $P_n = \cup_\lambda P_\lambda^\trianglelefteq$ and $P_\lambda^\trianglelefteq \cdot P_\mu^\trianglelefteq \subseteq P_{\lambda+\mu}^\trianglelefteq$. For this reason, not every homogeneous basis for the coinvariant algebra will do: the numerators of the RHS in Theorems 6.2 and 6.5 below are generating functions for the descent basis (signed descent basis, respectively), but other bases (e.g., Schubert polynomials) have different generating functions, and are therefore not appropriate for use here. The success of the argument relies on properties of the descent basis.

6.1 Main Combinatorial Results

For any signed permutation $\sigma \in B_n$ let $d_i(\sigma)$, $n_i(\sigma)$, and $\varepsilon_i(\sigma)$ have the same meaning as in Subsection 1.2.1.

The main result of this section is

Theorem 6.1 *Let $n \in \boldsymbol{P}$. Then*

$$\sum_{\sigma\in B_n} \prod_{i=1}^{n} q_i^{d_i(\sigma)+n_i(\sigma^{-1})} = \sum_{\sigma\in B_n} \prod_{i=1}^{n} q_i^{2d_i(\sigma)+\varepsilon_i(\sigma)}.$$

Proof. Theorem 6.1 is an immediate consequence of Theorems 6.5 and 6.7 below.

□

For any partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$ with at most n positive parts let

$$m_j(\lambda) := \mid \{1 \leq i \leq n \mid \lambda_i = j\} \mid \qquad (\forall j \geq 0),$$

and let $\binom{n}{\bar{m}(\lambda)}$ denote the multinomial coefficient $\binom{n}{m_0(\lambda), m_1(\lambda), \ldots}$.

By considering the Hilbert series of the polynomial ring P_n with respect to weakly decreasing multi-degree we obtain

Theorem 6.2 *Let $n \in \boldsymbol{P}$. Then*

$$\sum_{\ell(\lambda) \leq n} \binom{n}{\bar{m}(\lambda)} \prod_{i=1}^{n} q_i^{\lambda_i} = \frac{\sum_{\pi \in S_n} \prod_{i=1}^{n} q_i^{d_i(\pi)}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 - q_1 \cdots q_i)}$$

in $\boldsymbol{Z}[[q_1, \ldots, q_n]]$, where the sum on the left-hand side is taken over all partitions with at most n parts.

The theorem will be proved using the following lemma.

Recall from Subsection 3.2 the definitions of the index permutation $\pi(m)$ and the complementary partition $\mu(m)$ of a monomial $m \in P_n$.

Lemma 6.3 *The mapping $m \longmapsto (\pi(m), \mu(m)')$ is a bijection between the set of all monomials in P_n and the set of all pairs $(\pi, \tilde{\mu})$, where $\pi \in S_n$ and $\tilde{\mu}$ is a partition with at most n parts.*

Proof of lemma 6.3. The indicated mapping is clearly into the claimed set, since the largest part of the complementary partition $\mu(m)$ is at most n. Conversely, to each pair $(\pi, \tilde{\mu})$ as in the statement of the lemma, associate the $\prec$-maximal monomial m in the expansion of $a_\pi \cdot e_{\tilde{\mu}'}$. Then $\pi(m) = \pi$ and $\mu(m)' = \tilde{\mu}$. Thus the mapping is a bijection.

□

Proof of Theorem 6.2. Recall the notation $\lambda(m)$ for the exponent partition of a monomial $m \in P_n$. For any partition with n parts denote by $\bar{q}^\lambda$ the product $\prod_{i=1}^{n} q_i^{\lambda_i}$.

For any partition λ with at most n parts, $\binom{n}{\bar{m}(\lambda)}$ is the number of monomials in P_n with exponent partition equal to λ. Therefore the Hilbert series of the polynomial ring P_n by exponent partition is equal to the LHS of the theorem.

On the other hand, since $\lambda(m) = \lambda(a_{\pi(m)}) + \mu(m)'$, using Lemma 6.3 we get

$$\sum_{m \in P_n} \bar{q}^{\lambda(m)} = \sum_{m \in P_n} \bar{q}^{\lambda(a_{\pi(m)}) + \mu(m)'} = \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \bar{q}^{\lambda(a_\pi)} \cdot \sum_{\mu} \bar{q}^{\mu},$$

where μ in the last sum runs through all partitions having at most n parts. By Claim 3.7 and Observation 4.7, this product is equal to the RHS of the theorem.

□

A well known result, attributed by Garsia [14] to Gessel [18], follows.

Corollary 6.4 *Let $n \in \boldsymbol{P}$. Then*

$$\frac{\sum_{\pi \in S_n} t^{des(\pi)} q^{maj(\pi)}}{\prod_{i=0}^{n} (1 - tq^i)} = \sum_{r \geq 0} [r+1]_q^n t^r.$$

in $\boldsymbol{Z}[q][[t]]$.

Proof. Substitute, in Theorem 6.2, $q_1 = qt$ and $q_2 = q_3 = \ldots = q_n = q$, divide both sides by $1 - t$, and apply (3.1) and (3.2). We obtain

$$\frac{\sum_{\pi \in S_n} t^{des(\pi)} q^{maj(\pi)}}{\prod_{i=0}^{n} (1 - tq^i)} = \sum_{\ell(\lambda) \leq n} \binom{n}{\bar{m}(\lambda)} \cdot q^{\Sigma_i \lambda_i} \cdot \frac{t^{\lambda_1}}{1-t} =$$

$$= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell(\lambda) \leq n \ , \ \lambda_1 \leq r} \binom{n}{\bar{m}(\lambda)} q^{\Sigma_i \lambda_i} t^r.$$

The coefficient of t^r is

$$\sum_{\ell(\lambda) \leq n \ , \ \lambda_1 \leq r} \binom{n}{\bar{m}(\lambda)} q^{\Sigma_i \lambda_i} = \sum_{(\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_n) \in [0,r]^n} q^{\Sigma_i \ell_i} = \ (\sum_{j=0}^{r} q^j)^n \ = \ [r+1]_q^n.$$

□

The same Hilbert series of P_n may be computed in a different way, by considering the signed descent basis for the coinvariant algebra of type B and applying the Straightening Lemma for this type.

Theorem 6.5 *With notations as in Theorem 6.2*

$$\sum_{\ell(\lambda) \leq n} \binom{n}{\bar{m}(\lambda)} \prod_{i=1}^{n} q_i^{\lambda_i} = \frac{\sum_{\sigma \in B_n} \prod_{i=1}^{n} q_i^{2d_i(\sigma) + \varepsilon_i(\sigma)}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 - q_1^2 \cdots q_i^2)}$$

in $\boldsymbol{Z}[[q_1, \ldots, q_n]]$, where the sum on the left-hand side runs through all partitions with at most n parts.

Recall from Subsection 5.2 the definitions of the signed index permutation $\sigma(m) \in B_n$ and the complementary partition $\mu_B(m)$ of a monomial $m \in P_n$.

Lemma 6.6 *The mapping $m \longmapsto (\sigma(m), \mu_B(m)')$ is a bijection between the set of all monomials in P_n and the set of all pairs $(\sigma, \tilde{\mu})$, where $\sigma \in B_n$ and $\tilde{\mu}$ is a partition with at most n parts.*

Proof of lemma 6.6. Similar to the proof of Lemma 6.3. Here to each pair $(\sigma, \tilde{\mu})$ associate the $\prec$-maximal monomial m in $b_\sigma \cdot e_{\tilde{\mu}'}(x_1^2, \ldots, x_n^2)$, and then $\sigma(m) = \sigma$ and $\mu_B(m)' = \tilde{\mu}$.

□

Proof of Theorem 6.5. Again, the LHS of the theorem is equal to the Hilbert series of the polynomial ring P_n by exponent partition. On the other hand, since $\lambda(m) = \lambda(b_{\sigma(m)}) + 2\mu_B(m)'$, Lemma 6.6 gives

$$\sum_{m \in P_n} \bar{q}^{\lambda(m)} = \sum_{m \in P_n} \bar{q}^{\lambda(b_{\sigma(m)}) + 2\mu_B(m)'} = \sum_{\sigma \in B_n} \bar{q}^{\lambda(b_\sigma)} \cdot \sum_{\mu} \bar{q}^{2\mu},$$

where μ in the last sum runs through all partitions having at most n parts. By Claim 5.5, this product is equal to the RHS of the theorem.

□

Direct combinatorial arguments imply

Theorem 6.7 *With notations as in Theorem 6.2*

$$\sum_{\ell(\lambda) \leq n} \binom{n}{\bar{m}(\lambda)} \prod_{i=1}^{n} q_i^{\lambda_i} = \frac{\sum_{\sigma \in B_n} \prod_{i=1}^{n} q_i^{d_i(\sigma) + n_i(\sigma^{-1})}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 - q_1^2 \cdots q_i^2)}$$

in $\boldsymbol{Z}[[q_1, \ldots, q_n]]$.

Proof. Define the subset $T \subseteq B_n$ by

$$T := \{\pi \in B_n : \ des(\pi) = 0\}.$$

It is clear from our definitions that $d_i(\sigma u) = d_i(u)$ and $n_i(u^{-1}\sigma^{-1}) = n_i(\sigma^{-1})$ for all $\sigma \in T$, $u \in S_n$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\pi \in B_n} \prod_{i=1}^{n} q_i^{d_i(\pi) + n_i(\pi^{-1})} &= \sum_{u \in S_n} \sum_{\sigma \in T} \prod_{i=1}^{n} q_i^{d_i(\sigma u) + n_i((\sigma u)^{-1})} \\
&= \sum_{u \in S_n} \sum_{\sigma \in T} \prod_{i=1}^{n} q_i^{d_i(u) + n_i(\sigma^{-1})} \\
&= \sum_{u \in S_n} \prod_{i=1}^{n} q_i^{d_i(u)} \cdot \sum_{\sigma \in T} \prod_{i=1}^{n} q_i^{n_i(\sigma^{-1})}.
\end{aligned}$$

An element $\sigma \in T$ is uniquely determined by the set $Neg(\sigma^{-1})$. Hence

$$\sum_{\sigma \in T} \prod_{i=1}^{n} q_i^{n_i(\sigma^{-1})} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 + q_1 \cdots q_i).$$

Theorem 6.2 completes the proof. □

6.2 Negative and Flag Statistics

In this subsection we present a central result from [1] and show that it may be obtained as a special case of results from the previous subsection.

For any $\sigma \in B_n$ define the *negative descent multiset* by

$$NDes(\sigma) := Des(\sigma) \biguplus Neg(\sigma^{-1}),$$

where $Neg(\sigma)$ is the set of positions of negative entries in σ, defined in Subsection 1.2.1. Note that $NDes(\sigma)$ can be defined rather naturally also in purely Coxeter group theoretic terms. In fact, for $i \in [n]$ let $\eta_i \in B_n$ be defined by

$$\eta_i := [1, \ldots, i-1, -i, i+1, \ldots, n],$$

so $\eta_1 = s_0$. Then $\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_n$ are reflections of B_n (in the Coxeter group sense; see, e.g., [21]). Clearly

$$NDes(\sigma) = \{i \in [n-1] : \ l(\sigma s_i) < l(\sigma)\} \biguplus \{i \in [n] : \ l(\sigma^{-1}\eta_i) < l(\sigma^{-1})\}.$$

For $\sigma \in B_n$ let

$$ndes(\sigma) := |NDes(\sigma)|, \qquad nmaj(\sigma) := \sum_{i \in NDes(\sigma)} i.$$

Recall the notation

$$fmaj(\sigma) = 2\, maj(\sigma) + |Neg(\sigma)|.$$

and let

$$fdes(\sigma) := 2\, des(\sigma) + \varepsilon_1(\sigma).$$

Then the two pairs of statistics $(fdes, fmaj)$ and $(ndes, nmaj)$ are equidistributed over B_n.

Corollary 6.8 *[1, Corollary 4.5] Let $n \in \boldsymbol{P}$. Then*

$$\sum_{\sigma \in B_n} t^{ndes(\sigma)} q^{nmaj(\sigma)} = \sum_{\sigma \in B_n} t^{fdes(\sigma)} q^{fmaj(\sigma)}.$$

Proof. Substitute in Theorem 6.1 : $q_1 = qt, q_2 = \ldots = q_n = q$, and apply the identities

$$d_1(\sigma) + n_1(\sigma^{-1}) = ndes(\sigma);$$

$$\sum_{\imath=1}^{n} (d_i(\sigma) + n_i(\sigma^{-1})) = nmaj(\sigma);$$

and

$$\sum_{\imath=1}^{n} (2 \cdot d_i(\sigma) + \varepsilon_i(\sigma)) = fmaj(\sigma).$$

□

Acknowledgments. This paper grew out of stimulating discussions with Dominique Foata and Ira Gessel during the conference "Classical Combinatorics" in honor of Foata's 65^{th} birthday. The authors are indebted to Ira Gessel for the idea of using the coinvariant algebra in the study of multivariate statistics. Thanks are also due to Eli Bagno and Richard Stanley for useful comments.

References

[1] R. M. Adin, F. Brenti and Y. Roichman, *Descent numbers and major indices for the hyperoctahedral group*, Adv. Appl. Math. 27 (2001), 210–224.

[2] R. M. Adin and Y. Roichman, *A flag major index for signed permutations*, Proc. 11-th Conference on Formal Power Series and Algebraic Combinatorics, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, Barcelona, 1999, 10–17.

[3] R. M. Adin and Y. Roichman, *The flag major index and group actions on polynomial rings*, Europ. J. Combin. 22 (2001), 431–446.

[4] E. E. Allen, *The descent monomials and a basis for the diagonally symmetric polynomials*, J. Alg. Combin. 3 (1994), 5–16.

[5] S. Ariki, T. Terasoma and H. F. Yamada, *Higher Specht polynomials*, Hiroshima Math. J. 27 (1997), 177–188.

[6] H. Barcelo, *Young straightening in a quotient S_n-module*, J. Alg. Combin. 2 (1993), 5–23.

[7] I. N. Bernstein, I. M. Gelfand and S. I. Gelfand, *Schubert cells and cohomology of Schubert spaces G/P*, Usp. Mat. Nauk. 28 (1973), 3–26.

[8] A. Björner and F. Brenti, *Combinatorics of Coxeter Groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, to appear.

[9] F. Brenti, *q-Eulerian polynomials arising from Coxeter groups*, Europ. J. Combin. 15 (1994), 417–441.

[10] M. Demazure, *Invariants symétriques entiers des groupes de Weyl et torsion*, Invent. Math. 21 (1973), 287–301.

[11] D. Foata, personal communication, July 2000.

[12] D. Foata and G. N. Han, *Calcul basique des permutations signees. I. Longueur et nombre d'inversions*, Adv. Appl. Math. 18 (1997), 489–509.

[13] A. M. Garsia, *Combinatorial methods in the theory of Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Adv. Math. 38 (1980), 229–266.

[14] A. M. Garsia, *On the "maj" and "inv" q-analogues of Eulerian polynomials*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra 8 (1979/80), 21–34.

[15] A. M. Garsia and C. Procesi, *On certain graded S_n-modules and the q-Kostka polynomials*, Adv. Math. 94 (1992), 82–138.

[16] A. M. Garsia and J. Remmel, *Shuffles of permutations and the Kronecker product*, Graphs and Combinatorics 1 (1985), 217–263.

[17] A. M. Garsia and D. Stanton, *Group actions of Stanley-Reisner rings and invariants of permutation groups*, Adv. Math. 51 (1984), 107–201.

[18] I. M. Gessel, *Generating functions and enumeration of sequences*, Ph.D. Thesis, M.I.T., 1977.

[19] I. M. Gessel, *Multipartite P-partitions and inner products of Schur functions*, Contemp. Math. 34 (1984), 289–302.

[20] H. L. Hiller, *Geometry of Coxeter Groups*, Res. Notes in Math. 54, Pitman, Boston, 1982.

[21] J. E. Humphreys, *Reflection Groups and Coxeter Groups*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, no. 29, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1990.

[22] D. Kazhdan and G. Lusztig, *Representations of Coxeter groups and Hecke algebras*, Invent. Math. 53 (1979), 165–184.

[23] W. Kraskiewicz and J. Weyman, *Algebra of coinvariants and the action of a Coxeter element*, Bayreuther Math. Schriften 63 (2001), 265–284 (preprint: University of Torun, 1987).

[24] I. G. Macdonald, *Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials*, second edition, Oxford Math. Monographs, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 1995.

[25] P. A. MacMahon, *Combinatory Analysis*, Chelsea, New York, 1960. (Originally published in 2 vols. by Cambridge University Press, 1915-1916.)

[26] H. Morita and H. F. Yamada, *Higher Specht polynomials for the complex reflection group* $G(r, p, n)$, Hokkaido Math. J. 27 (1998), 505–515.

[27] V. Reiner, *Signed permutation statistics*, Europ. J. Combin. 14 (1993), 553–567.

[28] C. Reutenauer, *Free Lie Algebras*, London Math. Soc. Monographs, New Series 7, Oxford Univ. Press, 1993.

[29] B. E. Sagan, *The Symmetric Group: Representations,Combinatorial Algorithms & Symmetric Functions*, Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole, 1991.

[30] L. Solomon, *The orders of the finite Chevalley groups*, J. Algebra 3 (1966), 376–393.

[31] T. A. Springer, *A construction of representations of Weyl groups*, Invent. Math. 44 (1978), 279–293.

[32] R. P. Stanley, *Ordered Structures and Partitions*, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. no. 119, 1972.

[33] R. P. Stanley, *Invariants of finite groups and their applications to combinatorics*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (new series) 1 (1979), 475–511.

[34] R. P. Stanley, *Some aspects of group acting on finite posets*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 32 (1982), 132–161.

[35] R. P. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics*, Vol. 1, Wadsworth and Brooks/Cole, Monterey, CA, 1986.

[36] R. P. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics*, Vol. 2, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics 62, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1999.

[37] R. Steinberg, *On a theorem of Pittie*, Topology 14 (1975), 173–177.

[38] J. Stembridge, *On the eigenvalues of representations of reflection groups and wreath products*, Pacific J. Math. 140 (1989), 353–396.

[39] T. Terasoma and H. F. Yamada, *Higher Specht polynomials for the symmetric group*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. 69 (1993), 41–44.